

Answer To Question

The US National Security Strategy Document

(Translated)

Question:

On 5/12/2025, Trump announced to the public the new 33-page US National Security Strategy document. What is the difference between this document and the previous ones, such as Biden's strategy?

Answer:

A careful examination of these documents reveals no fundamental difference between the National Security Strategy documents published by the Republican Trump in 2017 and 2025, or those published by Reagan in 1988, Bush Sr. in 1990, and Bush Jr. in 2002, and those announced by Democratic presidents: Clinton in 1994 and 1998, Obama in 2010 and 2015, and Biden in 2022. The only difference lies in the style and language used; all of them aim to maintain and reinforce American global hegemony. While Republicans express American global leadership bluntly and without ambiguity, Democrats resort to flowery and deceptive language, sometimes through misleading and other times through convoluted and ambiguous statements. **In my answer, as the question suggests, I will focus on the differences between these strategies rather than delving into their details, except to the extent necessary to clarify the difference between Biden's strategy and Trump's strategy. To illustrate this, and with Allah's guidance, I say:**

1- The Answer to a Question we issued on 18/11/2016, stated the following:

(...The broad outlines of the US politics do not differ between the Republican Party and the Democratic Party, but the styles vary. The reason for this is attributed to the background of the emergence of the two parties. The Republican Party does not care much to appear in the democratic impressions that they loud-mouth, but is predominated by a cowboy attitude saturated with arrogance and it has emerged from this milieu and still it prevails it... And the cowboy culture tends to the person who shows strength, beats and kills the other, blows up here and there... Nor do they care about the crimes of killing innocent people, as they are prevalent in their country, and they love to bear arms and use them as fancies. The US Senate rejected on Monday a proposal of the Democratic Party that allows expanding investigations of the criminal and psychological history for those interested in purchasing individual weapons... Thus, the Republicans do not care about the regulation of gun possession because of the control of producers and arms dealers lobby on them...Whereas, the Democratic Party is predominated by deception, appearing in the false democratic impressions and imitating the English style. It provides poison enveloped with fake fat, and kills you with a smile, while the Republican party offers the pure poison, so it kills you while biting on its teeth... Democratic presidents therefore are better able to deceit and win the trust of dupes, whereas Republican presidents do not deceive anyone because their enmity is blatantly declared. This can be seen when reviewing people's vision of examples of not-so-distant history of Presidents of both parties... Bush utters by the crusade war whereas Obama cites a Quranic verse in Cairo... and both are plotting a scheme against Islam...! That is just as we said earlier: "Democratic presidents therefore better able to deceive and win the trust of dupes, whereas Republican presidents do not deceive anyone because their enmity is blatantly declared."

Even in the logo of the two parties, there is a difference in connotation, fits what we have said. Since the American cartoonist - German origin - Thomas Nast in (1870 and 1874), published a drawing in Harper's Magazine showing a picture of a donkey wearing a lion's body to scare a group of animals, among them a giant wild elephant that smashes his surroundings... Since then, the donkey has become the Democratic party logo, and the elephant became the logo of the Republican party, and the two logos reflect the image of the two parties... Thus, the actions of Trump are not a new innovation of the actions of the Republican candidates, except to the extent of personal characteristics that distinguish a person from another, but the general characteristics of the Republican Party remains almost applicable to all the party's candidates with the exception of personal characteristics as mentioned above.) **End of Quote.**

2- Therefore, the inherent arrogance of the Republicans and the deceptive tactics of the Democrats are clearly evident in the strategic documents announced by presidents from both parties.

* Biden's strategy, for example, seeks to perpetuate American leadership and entrench global hegemony and order through misleading terms such as cooperation, democracy, human rights, and diplomacy.

* As for Trump, whose personality is characterized by excessive arrogance, a lust for power, a love of theatrics, a lack of wisdom, a tendency towards internal conflicts and the elimination of opponents, and a state of euphoria, he aims to maintain American global leadership through blatant and undisguised slogans such as "America First" and "Peace Through Strength," even going so far as to insult his allies without any pretense. This is what Trump explicitly stated in his strategic document: ("The goal of this strategy is to tie together all of these world-leading assets, and others, to strengthen American power and preeminence and make our country even greater than it ever has been" (National Security Strategy 2025, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/2025-National-Security-Strategy.pdf>)).

Furthermore, in almost all the subheadings under the heading "Priorities," the protection, enhancement, and sustainability of American dominance are emphasized and reinforced. These include: Realignment through peace, economic security, balanced trade, securing access to critical supply chains and materials, reviving our defense industrial base, energy dominance, and preserving and growing America's financial sector dominance.

3- The national strategy documents announced by Democratic presidents such as Biden, Obama, and Clinton were based on maintaining American hegemony through so-called soft power and international institutions like the United Nations and NATO, using deceptive terms like democracy and human rights. According to the Democratic national strategy document, America is the world's policeman, and while this role comes with costs and burdens, they see these as a necessary price to pay to ensure the continuation of the American world order and the expansion of its imperial influence.

However, in Republican strategies, as seen in the documents of Nixon and Trump, the logic differs. They demand that allies pay for America's protection and the security umbrella it provides. This was clearly evident in Trump's 2025 document under the subheading "Burden-Sharing and Burden-Shifting," which obligated NATO countries to "spend 5 percent of GDP on defense" (US National Security Strategy 2025, <https://www.whitehouse.gov/wp-content/uploads/2025/12/2025-National-Security-Strategy.pdf>; mc-doualiya.com).

As is apparent, despite the varying methods employed, the different means used, and the fluctuating priorities according to circumstances and shifts, the fundamental objective of national security strategy documents—whether issued by Trump, Biden, Obama, Bush, Clinton, or other leaders of the colonialist state—remains a single, constant goal: to maintain America's global leadership, consolidate its hegemony, and prevent the emergence of any power that rivals the United States!

4- Therefore, the strategy document announced by Trump does not represent a fundamental change in objectives, but rather a change in the methods used to achieve those objectives. As also stated in the Question and Answer dated 18/11/ 2016: (As for the change of US policy on key issues traded in the era of the former president, the broad outline is not expected to change, but the styles may change. The American system is controlled by different institutions, each with its own powers that increase or decrease... and this affects maintaining the outline of US policy almost invariable with differences in styles.) **End of Quote.**

5- This can be confirmed by examining the emergence of American political parties after the formation of the United States. They all share a common origin, maintaining American hegemony and tyranny. These parties differ only in their methods and personal tyranny.

a. **After European (settlers and tourists) managed to seize America, especially North America**, and enslave its indigenous inhabitants, the Native Americans, they began working to form a state. According to Wikipedia, [The Thirteen Colonies were the British colonies on the Atlantic coast of North America which broke away from the British Crown in the American Revolutionary War (1775–1783), and joined to form the United States of America]. The Philadelphia Convention adopted the current American Constitution on 17/9/1787, and it was ratified the following year, 1788, making these states part of a single republic with a central government. Later, the United States acquired territories from France, Spain, Mexico, and Russia, and annexed the Republic of Texas and Hawaii. The republic

was then officially established the following year, on 1789, the United States of America. George Washington was the first President of the United States (1789-1797).

b. The Democratic-Republican Party originated from a faction in Congress comprised of opponents of the centralist policies of Alexander Hamilton, who served as Secretary of the Treasury under President George Washington

c. The Democratic-Republican Party persisted until 1828, when the current Democratic Party was formed by supporters of Andrew Jackson. The current Republican Party was then formed in 1854. Abraham Lincoln became the first Republican president of the United States in 1865...

6- Therefore, these parties share a common origin: to impose American hegemony. They differ only in their methods, their degree of cunning, and the extent of their personal tyranny. The differences don't extend beyond these three points.

For example, the new strategic document announced by Trump is the most arrogant example of cowboy behavior. As we mentioned earlier, while the Democrats, like foxes, offer poison in a veneer of false sweetness (under the guise of democracy, human rights, and diplomatic niceties), the Republicans impose the poison as it is, gritting their teeth and brandishing brute force. Trump's slogan, "America First," is in reality nothing more than a policy of colonial extortion, even with allies, by imposing tributes: "Pay money for protection."

7- Thus, upon closer examination of Trump and Biden's strategies, it becomes clear that the only difference lies in style, cunning, and the extent of personal tyranny. Although what we mentioned above indicates this, both strategies addressed a number of international issues, many of which are almost identical in their views, such as Europe and China. Some issues, such as the Western Hemisphere, show a difference in style, cunning, and personal tyranny, while others, such as the Middle East, are characterized by a shared, albeit hateful, scheming approach. **We will briefly mention what was stated in Biden's and then Trump's strategies regarding the Western Hemisphere and the Middle East:**

A. The Western Hemisphere: Because the Monroe Doctrine pertains to this region, we will mention something about Monroe and his doctrine:

(He was the fifth President of the United States, serving from 1817 to 1825. He received the state of Florida to administer in 1819. In 1823, he announced the Monroe Doctrine, in which he expressed the United States' opposition to any European intervention in the affairs of the Americas. This was stated in a declaration issued by President James Monroe in a letter he delivered to the US Congress on December 2nd, 1823. The Monroe Doctrine called for guaranteeing the independence of all nations in the Western Hemisphere against European intervention aimed at oppressing them or interfering in their self-determination. (Wikipedia, slightly adapted))

Subsequent American presidents followed suit in implementing it, albeit with varying methods and degrees of malice and tyranny. We will briefly mention what was issued by Biden and Trump in their respective strategies to illustrate the difference between them.

*** Biden's strategy indicates that this region (is the most influential on the United States, with annual trade reaching \$1.9 trillion, in addition to shared values, democratic traditions, and family ties. This strategy deems it essential for the United States to revitalize its businesses in the Americas. Biden's strategy also indicates that the United States will continue to modernize its border infrastructure and build a fair, orderly, and humane immigration system with the countries of the region. It will also continue its mission of expanding legal pathways for immigration and combating smuggling). This strategy does not allow any other major power to have effective influence that rivals or surpasses American influence, but rather employs deception and malicious tactics using democracy and human rights... and resorts to military action only as a last resort, not as an initial step**

*** As for Trump's strategy, it begins with threats of military action, even if those threats are never carried out! Trump's strategy is rife with arrogance, threats, and intimidation. His strategy (paraphrased) includes the following: [...(Applying the Monroe Doctrine to protect America's security and restore its control over the Western Hemisphere—America itself, Canada, and South America—and preventing foreign powers from deploying forces there)... and considers it "a region exclusively for the United States of America"]... Therefore, Trump asked Canada to join, making it the 51st state. He threatened Panama to cancel its agreements with China, and Panama complied. He also launched an**

attack on 3/1/2026 on Venezuela, bombing its capital Caracas, and its president, Maduro, and his wife were arrested in an act of arrogance reeking of abhorrent, traditional colonialism! This treatment of the Western Hemisphere was dubbed the Trump Doctrine, a complement to the Monroe Doctrine. Trump even extended his threats to Greenland, a territory belonging to Denmark, a NATO member! Trump's tyranny is blatant!!

B- The Middle East issue, as we mentioned earlier (including the Middle East, where they conspired against it and its people), the two strategies were not content with what they stipulated regarding supporting the Jewish entity and expanding normalization between it and the rulers, nor to plundering the Ummah's wealth, especially the Gulf's oil and other resources, nor to dominating navigation through the Middle East's waterways, including the Strait of Hormuz and Bab el-Mandeb. They did not stop there, **but also stipulated fighting terrorism, which, in their malicious understanding, is Islam and Islamic rule.** Trump states in his Middle East strategy: "...that the region not be an incubator or exporter of terror against American interests or the American homeland, and that Israel remain secure." Biden states in his strategy: "countering terrorist threats..." The intention behind all of this is to threaten Islam, the fundamental ideology of the region's people, since its inhabitants are Muslims striving to establish their state based on their Islamic ideology, liberate their lands from American and Western hegemony, overthrow their subservient regimes, and eliminate the Zionist entity. It is not merely about burying the normalization agreements.

8- In conclusion, the backbone and essence of the "National Security Strategy" documents announced by American presidents since World War II has remained constant and unchanging. What changes are the methods of implementation, the malice, and the personal tyranny in imposing, protecting, and perpetuating American hegemony, fighting Islam and its people, and doing their utmost to prevent the establishment of the Islamic state, the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate) on the method of Prophethood. But how evil is their judgment! The Khilafah Rashidah disturbs their sleep, even just by mentioning its name. As the Director of National Intelligence, Tulsi Gabbard, said a few days ago on 21/12/2025, "this Islamist ideology is a direct threat to our freedom because, at its core, it is a political ideology that seeks to create a global caliphate." And we say: ﴿مَوْتُوا بِغَيْظِكُمْ﴾ "May you die in your rage!" [Aal-i-Imran: 119]. For the Muslim Ummah will rise and establish its state, the Khilafah Rashidah, on the method of Prophethood, by Allah's (swt) permission, after this oppressive rule under which we live. «...ثُمَّ تَكُونُ مُلْكًا جَبْرِيَّةً فَتَكُونُ مَا شَاءَ اللَّهُ أَنْ تَكُونَ، ثُمَّ يَرْفَعَهَا إِذَا شَاءَ أَنْ يَرْفَعَهَا، ثُمَّ تَكُونُ خِلَافَةً عَلَىٰ مِنْهَاجِ النَّبُوَّةِ. ثُمَّ سَكَتَ» "...Then it will be an oppressive rule, and it will last as long as Allah wills it to last. Then He will remove it when He wills to remove it. Then it will be a Khilafah (Caliphate) on the method of Prophethood.' Then he remained silent" (Narrated by Ahmad). And at that time, the fate of the tyrant Trump and his cronies will be no different from the fate of Chosroes and Caesar after the establishment of the Khilafah. ﴿بَلَاغٌ فَمَنْ يَهْلِكُ إِلَّا الْقَوْمُ الْفَاسِقُونَ﴾ "This is a sufficient warning! Then, will anyone be destroyed except the rebellious people?" [Al-Ahqaf: 35].

25 Rajab 1447 AH

14/1/2026 CE