



Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 622 (21 October 2026)

(Translated from Arabic)

[Editorial: Trump and Putin's Agreement on Russian Diesel Page 2](#)

[Feature Article: The War of Azerbaijan's Regime on the Khimar Will Be the Spark That Hastens Its Collapse! Page 7](#)

[Ephpheta Paul VI Institute and Racial Extremism Page 11](#)

[And a Witness From Among Them Bore Testimony to the Criminality and Savagery of the Jews Page 14](#)

[Political Perspectives: The Interests of Foreign Powers in the Ongoing Conflict Between Pakistan and Afghanistan Page 16](#)

[El-Sisi Raises the Voice of Readiness but Keeps the Decision in America's Hands! Page 22](#)

[Wilayah Türkiye Holds "Gaza on the Third Anniversary of the Al-Aqsa Flood" Conference in Diyarbakir Page 26](#)

[America's Strategy on the International Stage Page 28](#)

["O You Who Have Believed, Respond to Allah and to the Messenger When He Calls You to That Which Gives You Life" Page 33](#)

[The Rulers of Egypt and Sudan Regard Muslim Blood as Cheap Page 35](#)

[Why Is Trump Demanding a New President in Ukraine? Page 37](#)

[The Infiltration of the Jewish Entity and the Treachery of Localizing Its Military Production in Morocco Page 42](#)

[A Delegation from Wilayah Sudan Visits Emir Awad al-Jayyid al-Na'ima, Emir of the Kawahla Tribe Page 47](#)

[The War on the Hijab Is a Crusade Against Islam Page 49](#)

Thawban (ra) reported: The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: «لَا تَزَالُ طَائِفَةٌ مِنْ أُمَّتِي ظَاهِرِينَ عَلَى الْحَقِّ،» «A group from my Ummah will remain manifest upon the truth. Those who forsake them will not harm them, until the Command of Allah comes while they are in that state.» (Sahih Muslim). And as long as there is a group manifest upon the truth within the midst of this blessed Ummah, then the plots of the enemies and their mutual agreements against the Muslims will not bear fruit! For the Ummah will prevail over the East and the West, sooner or later, by the Permission of Allah ﷻ. Allah ﷻ says: «وَأَنْتَظِرُوا إِنَّا مُنْتَظِرُونَ» «And wait; indeed, We are waiting» [TMQ Surah Hud: 122]

Trump and Putin's Agreement on Russian Diesel

By: Ustadh Asaad Mansour

On 9/10/2026, U.S. President Trump announced that he had reached an agreement with his Russian counterpart Putin, allowing Moscow to immediately offer diesel on global markets, and that “Diesel Prices for Americans and, indeed, the World, will be COMING DOWN, IN RECORD NUMBERS, AND FAST!” Trump stated: “I have just concluded a highly successful discussion with President Vladimir Putin, of Russia, wherein it was agreed that Russia will immediately supply over 300,000 Tons of Diesel Fuel to the American and Global Marketplace, another 500,000 Tons during the month of November, and 1,000,000 Tons immediately thereafter. Additionally, based on the condition of their Diesel Refineries, Russia will then deliver, within a short period of time, 3,000,000 Tons of Diesel Fuel.” On 17 October 2026, Trump signed an executive order that suspends the sanctions imposed on Russia, justifying it with national interests. The U.S. Treasury Department announced the suspension of sanctions on Russian diesel exports under a general license effective until April 2027.

Trump defended his decision in the face of criticism from his own Republican Party, emphasizing that he is working to lower high fuel prices that threaten the party's performance in the midterm elections on the upcoming November 3rd. Fuel costs have risen since he launched his aggression against Iran on 28 February 2026. However, he misrepresents the facts and claims that the cause of the fuel crisis is Ukraine's strikes on Russian oil facilities.

He said: “I made the right choice for the American People — ALWAYS, AMERICA FIRST!”

Meanwhile, Ukrainian President Zelenskyy stated, “Any easing of sanctions imposed on Russia serves its interests and will lead to a prolongation of the war,” and he considered the deal a gift to Putin and a clear sign of weakness. Following his objection, Trump said, ““I think it’s time for Ukraine to get a new president.” Zelenskyy then showed his weakness by announcing his “readiness for new elections if Russia agrees to a 60-day ceasefire.”

America is playing with Zelenskyy, and he knows that the U.S. helped him come to power in 2019. It encouraged him to go to war, deluding him that it would support him in ending the rebellion backed by Russia in eastern Ukraine. Russia responded by occupying the region in 2022. America had announced that Russia would occupy it before it actually did. Now it is demanding that he recognize it as Russia’s, and when he objected, it began calling for his resignation. This is the fate of those who trust America, just as happened to those who trusted it in the lands of Muslims, where it abandons them when it sees its own interest and replaces them with other agents.

As for Europe’s stance towards this agreement: The President of the European Commission, von der Leyen, and the President of the European Council, Costa, stated in a meeting in Brussels on 16 September 2026 that “the European Union will continue to support Ukraine and will not lift sanctions on Russia.” They described the agreement as “fraught with risk, dangerous, and misleading.” Zelenskyy demanded “around 70 billion euros from them for defense, weapons, and resilience.” The President of France, Macron, said, “The agreement has negative

consequences.” So Europe realizes that this agreement is directed against it; Europe lost when it imposed sanctions on Russia—sanctions that are a double-edged sword—as Europe lost the cheap oil and gas derivatives that used to come from there. And America has started selling them to Europe at multiplied prices. It appears that America will import these derivatives from Russia and then sell them to Europe at exorbitant prices.

This agreement deepened the rift between the Western states and created disagreements among them. Danish Prime Minister Frederiksen stated, “I believe the Western alliance is in a weaker position than it was a few days ago.” Estonian Prime Minister Kaja Kallas said, “The relationship with America is essential to support Ukraine; we depend on it.” However, Bulgaria, due to its interests and ties, supported the agreement, contradicting the European stance. Its president, Radev, declared, “We welcome any step that contributes to lowering fuel prices and ensures our competitiveness.”

America declares through its president in clear terms that its interests take precedence over those of its allies, showing no concern for them, as utilitarianism is the criterion of its actions, being a capitalist state. There is no difference between the Democratic administration under Biden, which ignited the war in Ukraine by pushing it to provoke Russia until it invaded, and the Republican administration under Trump, which plays with Ukraine to win over Russia and its oil wealth and minerals, and to drive a wedge between Russia and Europe and China. Europe, also being capitalist, thinks only of its own interests and sees the danger of Russia at its doorstep; otherwise, it would have treated Ukraine as America does.

Putin said, "Russia is ready to supply the American and global markets with oil and petroleum products. The supply of Russian diesel will have a positive impact on the global economy," and that he agreed with Trump to continue their personal discussions and cooperation between the two administrations, intelligence agencies, and government institutions. It appears that Trump has managed to deceive Putin, just as Obama deceived him over Syria.

Russia will not break free from its political naivety. It could have refrained from selling diesel to America and left the U.S. to suffer, thereby exposing it to the world and causing it to falter in its wars in the Middle East. This would have strengthened Russia's position against America and proven that it is an influential international player with global stature and respect. However, Russia prioritized its immediate interests, revealing its weakness and its need for money and the lifting of sanctions, even if only temporarily. Russia deludes itself into thinking that America supports it, when America is the one that ignited this war. Russia is now in a predicament: it cannot achieve victory despite four and a half years having passed, as it has failed to establish full control over the Donbas region, occupying only about 80% of it and facing fierce resistance there. Ukraine is now striking deep into Russian territory, hitting its capital Moscow, its major cities, and its vital and military installations.

Yet Russia, a major power, has been unable to overcome a small state like Ukraine, even though it had help from America and Europe. This confirms the reality that Russia does not emerge victorious in its foreign wars. Perhaps its own flames will scorch its head if Europe enters the war directly. Germany and France, with support from Britain, are preparing for war. They have laid

out 5 to 10-year strategies for arming, training, and conscripting troops in preparation for fighting on the Ukrainian front, which might be joined by a front in Poland and the Baltic states. It has become an existential issue for Putin, as it will decide his fate, and for Russia—a state composed of federal entities that would quickly secede if the regime were to fall, just as happened with the federal Soviet Union.

Thus Russia has missed a golden opportunity to return as an influential global power, just as it squandered its opportunity in 2015 when America pushed it to intervene in Syria to preserve its agent Bashar al-Assad until it could find a replacement. Had Russia been rational, it would not have intervened and would have let America and its agents fall. The same applies in Libya and West Africa, so its role has become that of a servant to America in exchange for achieving immediate interests, without thinking about dislodging America from its position as the world's leading state—the very power that schemes against Russia and entangles it in wars. And although we do not want America to remain a major power, nor do we want Russia to become a second global power—for both are evil—we speak politically and with an understanding of politics so that we know how to stand against both when we establish the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) state soon, by the Permission of Allah ﷻ.

The War of Azerbaijan's Regime on the Khimar Will Be the Spark That Hastens Its Collapse!

By: Ramadan Tousoun *

Based on Resolution No. 309, issued on September 21, 2025, the Cabinet of Ministers of Azerbaijan has prohibited the wearing of additional clothing that violates the uniformity of the school uniform or contradicts the principle of secularism in public education.

A reading of the modern history of Muslim countries reveals that educational institutions have been one of the fiercest battlegrounds between the identity of the Ummah and the practices of the state apparatus. Schools, which ought to be spaces for knowledge, upbringing, and building the future, have unfortunately, over many long years, been transformed into arenas for imposing Western-style secularism, pursuing ambitions aimed at transforming society through social engineering projects, and endeavoring to erase Islamic symbols. The most embodied, most painful, and most symbolic form of this coercion was undoubtedly the turning away of female students and teachers wearing the khimar (خمار Shariah headscarf) from school gates, forcibly stripping them of their right to education and teaching.

The scenes witnessed at school gates during this process have taken their place among the most unforgettable tragedies in human history. Young girls heading to their schools in the early dawn hours, carrying their textbooks and wishing to enter their

classrooms, were met at the school gates with police barriers and humiliating checkpoints; they were forced either to remove the khimar and renounce their beliefs and their modesty, or to bid farewell to their educational lives. This pressure was also imposed on the female teachers who had dedicated their lives to education and guiding their students. Indeed, thousands of female teachers who were dismissed from their profession, or exiled, or forced to resign, or whose lives were darkened by disciplinary investigations, were compelled to make the cruel choice between their beliefs and their profession.

The atmosphere of tyranny and oppression that the Islamic Ummah endured throughout its modern history was not met with silent acceptance; on the contrary, it ignited the fuse of major societal resistance and a quest for justice. The demonstrations, protests, legal struggles, and mass gatherings initiated by the sons and daughters of the Ummah in front of the schools proved that this ban was not merely about a piece of cloth removed from the head, but was directly related to the existence of the Ummah and its 'Aqeedah (creed). Indeed, the families standing by their children out of familial sentiment, the believing intellectuals, and the masses with living feelings have always raised their voices against this injustice. As for the point reached in this process, the conflict between truth and falsehood inevitably manifested; for these strict and hostile prohibitions, which lasted for years, were unable to uproot the Islamic identity from the depths of society, nor did they make the khimar (خمار Shariah headscarf) forgotten.

The latest episode in this historical series of assaults on the modest khimar of the chaste women of the Islamic Ummah has emerged in Azerbaijan. The hostility of the Azerbaijani regime toward the khimar—that is, toward Islam—is nothing new; this

regime has, for many long years, made the targeted war against Islam and its manifestations, in line with the policies of anti-Islamic Western colonialist states like the Soviet Union and America, a part of its own policies. The Aliyev regime, which knows no bounds in its enmity toward Islam and Muslims and in taking disbelievers as allies, had also attempted to impose a complete ban on the khimar between 2010 and 2011. However, this decision was temporarily pushed out of the public spotlight at that time due to the angry reactions and mass protests it provoked across the country. Yet, this secularist regime either fails to see that the Islamic Ummah is still very much alive, that the painful experiences it endured in the past regarding the khimar constituted a major tribulation and a lesson it will not forget, and that these memories remain powerfully present in its awareness, or it simply chooses to ignore this reality—until it reintroduced the khimar ban onto its agenda and issued a decision to that effect. However, in the wake of this decision, many female teachers and students in Azerbaijan launched the following slogan to break the imposed restrictions in their own way: “If schools are barred to us, then the public squares are ours.”

On the intellectual and political front as well, activists and opponents of the decision launched a sharp attack via platforms against the strict secularist orientations, considering that the crackdown campaign on the khimar in Azerbaijan reveals a profound flaw in the application of secular nationalism. The anger was not even confined to newspapers and social media sites; on October 3rd, a protest vigil was organized in front of the Azerbaijani consulate in Istanbul... Participants raised banners in Turkish and Azeri, the most prominent of which read: “No to the hijab ban in Azerbaijan,” “Don’t let February 28th come to

Azerbaijan,” “The hijab is the identity of the Muslim woman,” and “Retract this mistake.”

The Aliyev regime, bewildered by this reaction from the Islamic Ummah, resorted to intimidation and threats like all other Taghuti (tyrannical) regimes. In fact, the Public Prosecutor’s Office announced that it had requested international judicial assistance from Türkiye—which is no different from Azerbaijan in its adoption of secularism—with the aim of opening investigations against individuals who publish posts criticizing the Aliyev regime.

However, let the tyrannical Azerbaijani regime and the agent rulers at its helm know full well that whenever the followers of secularism and the enemies of Islam grow tyrannical and arrogant, they are overthrown and the foundations of their thrones are set ablaze. By the permission of Allah ﷻ, you too will drown in the tears of that little girl whose khimar you violated and whom you left with eyes flooded with tears, and you will be cast into a deep abyss like the other secular regimes you have witnessed with your own eyes. And this is what the second Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate) state—whose dawn is now merely a matter of time—will bring about. Thus, victory will belong to a generation that remained noble, preserving its Iman and chastity in the face of tyranny, and placed its loyalty to its Lord above all worldly interests. ﴿وَيَقُولُونَ مَتَىٰ هُوَ قُلْ عَسَىٰ أَنْ يَكُونَ قَرِيبًا﴾ “And they ask, “When is that?” Say, “Perhaps it is near.” [TMQ Surah Al-Isra’a: 51].

*** Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Egypt**

Ephpheta Paul VI Institute and Racial Extremism

Ephpheta Paul VI Institute (Iftah Paul VI School for Special Needs) in the city of Bethlehem in the West Bank has sparked widespread controversy following its administration's decision to prevent female students wearing hijab from entering the school, threatening to expel them and considering them absent from school if they insist on wearing the hijab.

In this regard, a press release issued by the Women's Section of the Central Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir said: "In the fifteenth year of the Hijrah, the Amir ul-Mu'mineen, Umar (ra), wrote a covenant for the Christians of Bayt al-Maqdis (بيت المقدس Jerusalem), securing for them their lives, their properties, their places of worship and their rituals, and that they should not be harmed. Before that, the Messenger of Allah (saw) had instructed us not to harm a dhimmi from the People of the Book. And they lived with us while we preserved their covenants.

Yet today, in Bayt al-Maqdis, despite the many centuries during which Christians have lived in our lands in security and safety, we see this school for special needs, whose social function is to educate children, prepare them, and help them integrate into society and participate fully in society - confronts us with a racist decision that contradicts every custom and religion and has no legal basis."

The release added, addressing the Muslims in the Blessed Land of Palestine who are zealous for their Deen and their honor: "This decision, which the school made under the pretext of having its own regulations and official uniform, and from which it did not retract despite all the mediation and interventions from Muslim

and Christian notables who approached the administration, reveals an abhorrent racism and openly declared hostility towards you, your Deen, and its rulings:

First: The hijab worn by Muslim female students is an obligation imposed by the Lord of all worlds, and the law of the Christians acknowledges the headscarf for women, and nuns still wear it to this day.

Second: The regulations of the Ministry of Education prohibit any such action; a student's observance of religious rites is, in principle, a right guaranteed by law.

Third: The female students have been accustomed to wearing their hijab for years, so why does the school now insist on opposing the hijab, which is a symbol that holds sanctity for Muslims?

The press release questioned: "In whose interest does the Ministry of Education remain silent about such an offensive decision and about depriving female students with special needs of their right to education, while they are being pressured and bargained with over their hijab, which is an obligation from Allah, Glorified and Exalted?!"

It continued: "Did that girl, who suffers from speech and hearing difficulties, not stir your sense of honour when she pleaded with you, saying that she wanted to return to her school and education from which she has been deprived for some time, through no fault or wrongdoing of her own?! Simply because she and her fellow students refuse to disobey the command of Allah (swt) by removing their hijab?!"

The press release also drew attention, saying: "If the situation were reversed, and a Christian student was prevented from

entering a commercial establishment, for example, because she was wearing a cross—not a school, but a shop—would the matter have passed unnoticed? Or would the media and the authorities have stirred up an uproar over it, turned it into a case of terrorism against Christians, hammered people’s heads with slogans about coexistence and rejecting extremism, and imposed immediate penalties on those who disturbed civil peace?!”

The release also wondered in astonishment: “Why do we not see a stance or hear a voice from the women's associations spread across the Blessed Land of Palestine—those who oppose violence against women and clamor for equality, freedoms, a girl’s right to determine her own future, and even her right to abortion?! Or does the right to education cease to apply when there is a hijab on the head?!”

By the Permission of Allah (swt), this situation will not last long. We shall give bayah (of allegiance) to the Imam who is a shield (junnah), who will eradicate the remaining of the kuffar (disbelievers), hypocrites, and all those who oppose Islam and the Muslims or prevents them from performing their religious rites. And that is not difficult for Allah.”

And a Witness From Among Them Bore Testimony to the Criminality and Savagery of the Jews

(From Al-Sharq al-Awsat, Wednesday, 3 Jumada al-Awwal 1448 AH, 14 October 2026 CE, with some amendment) The security institution in the Jewish entity entered into an indirect confrontation with their Prime Minister Netanyahu, accusing the “Hilltop Youth” settler group in the West Bank of being the nucleus of a “solid and savage organization waging a preemptive war to expel Palestinians from the West Bank.”

A security source told the Yedioth Ahronoth Newspaper, “This is not about a handful; these are not a handful; whoever says that simply does not want to solve the problem. We are talking about a solid nucleus comprising between 300 and 500 people who are like rabid beasts.”

The security source’s statement came as a clear response to earlier statements by Netanyahu, in which he claimed that attacks by those he described as “a handful of underage troublemakers in the West Bank” were being exaggerated, compared to what he said were “deadly attacks launched by Palestinians.”

Contrary to what Netanyahu said, the security institution confirms that Palestinian attacks are in significant decline, but settler attacks on Palestinians are moving in an “opposite, dangerous, increasing, and continuous direction.”

The security source said, “These are criminals in the fullest sense of the word; they burn down houses with their inhabitants inside. And if in the past they justified their actions as a reaction to attacks based on the principle of “making them pay the price,”

the method has completely changed today. These are pre-planned operations aimed at carrying out expulsions.”

The source confirmed that the settlers receive political support, adding that “there are members of the Knesset and ministers who come to visit them in the illegal areas and provide them with full support.”

The Interests of Foreign Powers in the Ongoing Conflict Between Pakistan and Afghanistan

By: Ustadh Salah al-Din al-Uzbeki

On the Afghan-Pakistani border, the blood of innocent Muslims is once again being spilled due to the political machinations of the colonialists and the treachery of the regimes. According to a Reuters report published on 25 September 2026, the Pakistan Air Force (PAF) launched brutal airstrikes targeting 10 sites inside Afghanistan the day before, marking the second bloody attack within four days. The Pakistani regime attempts to cover up these attacks by claiming they are “measures against drones and armed groups,” while Kabul denies sending any drones and confirms that civilians were targeted and killed. Behind the official statements of these regimes, innocent people in these two neighboring Muslim countries are losing their lives, their homes, and their security.

A prime example of this is the Kunar Massacre. Reuters reported on 21 September 2026 that the United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA) confirmed the death of a man, a woman, and a girl as a result of the airstrikes. This means the violent consequences of these attacks, launched by the Islamabad regime, fall upon Muslim families. No matter how much regimes attempt to justify their heinous actions with the pretext of security, the spilling of innocent Muslim blood is a heinous crime in Shariah Law. Acting under the banner of the state or the guise

of security will not save any ruler from the responsibility of unjustly shedding blood, nor from the punishment of Allah ﷻ.

Similarly, the issue of the Pakistani Taliban movement, Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), which is cited as one of the core complications of this conflict, has been turned into a tool for fueling animosity between the two countries. A report by the U.S. Inspectors General for the first quarter (January–March) of 2026, based on a military intelligence assessment, stated that the Afghan Taliban's harboring of the Pakistani Taliban and its failure to impose adequate restrictions on them contributes to the persistence of violence along the border. This is nothing but a political assessment issued from Washington. What is clearly evident from this picture is that the Pakistani regime is exerting military pressure on Kabul to cover up domestic instability within its own territories and to carry out America's dictations, while the ambiguity in Kabul's stance toward these groups forms a trap exploited to pit the two Muslim countries against each other.

Pakistan's objective is to compel the Taliban government to submit to its conditions through military strikes and aggression. Negotiations, meanwhile, are intended to convert this pressure into political commitments. In a report published by Reuters on 28 October 2025, a Pakistani source accused Afghanistan of lacking the will to rein in the Pakistani Taliban movement, while an Afghan source stated that Kabul does not control this group. Thus, the issue of the armed group has become a cause for directing weapons between two Muslim countries and a subject of political bargaining between them.

The primary driver behind this strife is America, and this is clearly evident in official documents. The Pakistani Taliban movement was listed as an organization that must be fought through joint

cooperation in the joint statement issued by the U.S.-Pakistan Counterterrorism Dialogue, held in Washington on 4 August 2026. Discussions also took place on enhancing border security and cutting off support networks for armed groups. This is clear evidence that Pakistan's security policy is being managed directly by America.

The aforementioned U.S. report reveals the true direction of this malicious policy with even greater clarity; it explicitly calls for maintaining the capability to conduct remote military operations inside Afghanistan, strengthening relations with the Pakistani military, and promoting U.S. hegemony objectives in South and Central Asia through proxies from outside the region. Washington's sole priority is securing its colonial interests and its own security, even if it is at the expense of Muslim blood. Despite withdrawing its troops from Afghanistan, it still retains the tools of control and the means to ignite discord among Muslims and fuel conflicts between them through its puppet regimes and military agreements.

This subservience does not stop there; it is also deeply rooted in the military-technical field. A joint statement by Powerus and Aureus Greenway on 18 September 2026 announced the receipt of an initial limited request from the Pakistani Ministry of Defense for the supply of drone systems and the provision of maintenance services for them. Memoranda of understanding for future cooperation were also signed, which linked their projects directly to obtaining the necessary approvals from America. This condition alone reveals the depth of subordination and agency within the Pakistani military; the operation of the weapons and technologies it possesses is contingent on American permission! The American supplier possesses the ability to revoke this

permission at any time it wishes and dictate its terms to the Pakistani military leadership, steering it according to its whims.

The Qatari and Turkish mediation is merely a diplomatic facade for this conflict. A joint statement by the Turkish Ministry of Foreign Affairs dated 30 October 2025 stated that an agreement had been reached to establish a mechanism for monitoring the truce and imposing punitive measures on the violating party. Similarly, a Qatari statement dated 18 March 2026 reported an opportunity for a temporary Eid truce following a joint appeal with Türkiye and Saudi Arabia. However, these temporary truces were never intended to alter the policies of regimes that serve American interests, nor to touch the roots of colonialism. These diplomatic arenas, operating under direct American supervision, are exploited to implement American projects.

The executive role played by Qatar on behalf of America in the Afghan file has been officially confirmed. According to the U.S. report, the Afghan Affairs Division at the U.S. Embassy in Doha manages issues related to this country, while Qatar acts as the sponsor and representative of American interests in Afghanistan.

On the other hand, China exploits the instability among Muslims to serve its own interests. The joint statement issued by China and Pakistan on 5 January 2026 linked security cooperation to the protection of Chinese individuals, institutions, and the China-Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). The statement specifically referred to Gwadar Port, the Karakoram Highway, and industrial and mining projects. This document calls for taking strict, practical measures against armed groups in Afghanistan. For Beijing, what matters is not the blood of Muslims or their security, but rather the protection of its capital, investments, and

trade routes. For this reason, it turns the Pakistani regime into a guardian for its investments.

Herein lies the bitter political truth of this conflict: the border disputes between Pakistan and Afghanistan are not merely a security issue; rather, they are an incitement of Muslims in Pakistan and Afghanistan against one another, serving the interests of America and China! And whenever the rulers of Muslim lands entrust their security to external disbelieving powers, they only increase their submission and subservience to their conditions.

In this regard, it must be declared openly that Pakistan and Afghanistan are showing a lack of responsibility; Pakistan's leadership is sacrificing the blood of its people and the blood of the people of Afghanistan in service to the interests of America and China. And Kabul's leadership is incapable of prioritizing the interests of the Ummah and the security of innocents over its own narrow interests.

The Islamic solution does not lie merely in calling for a false truce or begging for sympathy from these regimes; rather, the only way to put an end to the shedding of Muslims' blood and to expel the colonialists from the region lies in the following:

- Rejecting artificial borders (the Durand Line) and sedition: The Muslims in Pakistan and Afghanistan are of one Ummah, and it is imperative to reject the subservient regimes and the artificial borders imposed by the colonialists that have turned them into enemies of one another.
- Ending foreign subordination: The Pakistan Army must immediately cease serving America and China, and direct its weapons and power to protect the Muslims.

- Establishing the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate): Establishing the Khilafah Rashidah, which unifies the military and economic power of the Muslims, dries up the American and Chinese roots in the region, and establishes a single state based on the Shariah rulings of Islam, is a Shariah obligation.

The military power of the Muslims must not be exploited for fighting amongst themselves, but must be directed against their enemies. The intellectual and political work to achieve this great objective—the establishment of the Islamic State—is a Shariah obligation upon the shoulders of the entire Ummah.

El-Sisi Raises the Voice of Readiness but Keeps the Decision in America's Hands!

By: Ustadh Saeed Fadl *

As is the custom of the Egyptian regime—subordinate to America in its domestic and foreign policies—it carries out whatever tasks its master America assigns to it. Among these projects is its readiness to engage in the dirty war raging in Yemen in service to America. As for the statements issued by El-Sisi and his men, which may appear to carry a hint of pride and independence, these are for media consumption only. Therefore, El-Sisi's demand—made during a meeting of the Supreme Council of the Armed Forces at the Strategic Command headquarters in the new capital, coinciding with Egypt and the Armed Forces' celebrations of the 53rd anniversary of the October 1973 victories—that the military institution maintain the highest levels of readiness and combat preparedness amidst the unprecedented challenges and changes the region is witnessing, should not be taken seriously or interpreted as indicating an imminent danger threatening the country, and that El-Sisi is preparing to confront it to safeguard the security of the Ummah and its people. If the demand is indeed serious, then it is for the purpose of implementing America's projects in the region.

The Egyptian regime, like the rest of the agent regimes established in the lands of the Muslims, was installed by colonialism upon the necks of the Ummah to torment it, impoverish it, subjugate it, and humiliate it, and to prevent the return of Islam to governance and to fight the sincere workers striving to achieve this objective. Therefore, it is inconceivable for the regime to have any enemy other than Islam and the Muslims.

This is what must be understood from El-Sisi's warning of "the persistence of challenges targeting the country's stability" and his delusion that the Egyptian state, in his words, is "strong in its institutions, cohesive with the will of its people, and capable of protecting its national security and safeguarding its resources."

As for those who believe that El-Sisi's regime is preoccupied with the strategic threat posed by the Renaissance Dam and the other dams Ethiopia has inaugurated on the Nile with the support of the Jewish entity, they are mistaken. If the issue of the Renaissance Dam and the strategic threat to Egypt truly concerned the regime, it would never have allowed Ethiopia to inaugurate it in the first place. Yet, since construction began in 2011, electricity generation started in 2022, and it was officially inaugurated in September of last year, the regime has done nothing but issue condemnations and expressions of outrage—culminating in the farce of El-Sisi asking Ethiopian Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed to swear an oath not to harm Egypt's national security! Therefore, El-Sisi's messages and statements in which he emphasizes that the challenges facing Egypt persist, and that what he described as "schemes targeting the Nile and Egypt's stability have not ceased, amid the crises and turmoil the region is witnessing," are also insincere declarations and warnings. He does not mean what he says, nor does he intend it; rather, they are merely for media consumption.

As for what was reported regarding El-Sisi's rejection of any measures aimed at establishing new arrangements for Ethiopia's access to the Red Sea at the expense of the littoral states—amid escalating tensions with Ethiopia, which seeks a sea outlet and considers it a "top national priority"—this matter is of no concern to the Egyptian regime. It is purely an American affair, as the

regimes of both Ethiopia and Eritrea are American agents, as is the regime in Somalia. They undertake no geographical changes on the ground without America's permission. It is inconceivable that an agent like El-Sisi would approve or block any project that his master, America, permits for the benefit of one of its agents in the region. Therefore, the consultative quadrilateral summit held last Sunday in the city of El Alamein, attended by the rulers of Egypt, Somalia, Eritrea, and Sudan, was a meeting to distribute the roles assigned to them by America—whether concerning Ethiopia and its access to the Red Sea or other dirty tasks typically delegated to them—contrary to what is publicly announced: that it was a meeting to emphasize that “governance of the Red Sea is the exclusive responsibility of the littoral states.”

The issue of the Egyptian regime's military mobilization, spurred by what Hebrew media outlets reported regarding a document published by the media of the Jewish entity stating that “Egypt and Jordan might once again become hostile nations in the future, despite the peace agreements, and therefore should be considered potential threats, with comprehensive operational plans prepared for such an eventuality,” remains. The enmity of the Jews towards the Ummah will persist until the prophecy of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ is fulfilled concerning them, «لَا تَقُومُ السَّاعَةُ حَتَّى يُقَاتَلَ الْمُسْلِمُونَ الْيَهُودَ، فَيَقْتُلُهُمُ الْمُسْلِمُونَ، حَتَّى يَخْتَبِئَ الْيَهُودِيُّ مِنْ وَرَاءِ الْحَجَرِ وَالشَّجَرِ، فَيَقُولُ الْحَجَرُ أَوْ الشَّجَرُ: يَا مُسْلِمُ، يَا عَبْدَ اللَّهِ، هَذَا يَهُودِيٌّ خَلْفِي، فَتَعَالَ فَاقْتُلْهُ، إِلَّا الْعَرَقَدَ، فَإِنَّهُ مِنْ شَجَرِ الْيَهُودِ» **“The Hour will not be established until you fight with the Jews, and the stone behind which a Jew will be hiding will say: ‘O Muslim! There is a Jew hiding behind me, so kill him,’”** as narrated by Muslim. However, this fighting will not be carried out by the Egyptian regime, which has enabled the Jewish entity since its inception in 1948 and has remained its supporter and protector

to this day. Its ongoing abandonment of the people of the Blessed Land of Palestine, while it watches the Jews inflict wounds upon them and commit massacre after massacre, is but a vivid example that cannot be missed. Therefore, if the Jewish entity targets Egypt—as it has indeed done on numerous occasions—the Egyptian regime will only respond to the extent that America permits, if it permits at all. But, once again, what some have understood—that the regime’s statements are directed at the Jewish entity and are a response to the report published by its media—is nothing but illusions stemming from a mistaken understanding of the reality of this treacherous regime from which no good can be expected. It is a regime that is an enemy to Allah ﷻ, His Messenger ﷺ, and the believers, and its condition is like the example Allah ﷻ set forth in His ﷻ saying: ﴿وَضَرَبَ اللَّهُ مَثَلًا رَجُلَيْنِ أَحَدُهُمَا أَبْكَمُ لَا يَقْدِرُ عَلَى شَيْءٍ وَهُوَ كَلٌّ عَلَى مَوْلَاهُ أَيْنَمَا يُوَجِّههُ لَا يَأْتِ بِخَيْرٍ ۖ هَلْ يَسْتَوِي هُوَ وَمَنْ يَأْمُرُ بِالْعَدْلِ وَهُوَ عَلَى صِرَاطٍ مُسْتَقِيمٍ﴾ “And Allah presents an example of two men, one of them dumb and unable to do a thing, while he is a burden to his guardian—wherever he directs him, he brings no good. Is he equal to one who commands justice, while he is on a straight path?” [TMQ Surah An-Nahl: 76].

***Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Egypt**

Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Türkiye Holds “Gaza on the Third Anniversary of the Al-Aqsa Flood” Conference in Diyarbakir



Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Türkiye held a conference titled “Gaza on the Third Anniversary of the Al-Aqsa Flood” in Diyarbakir, with strong participation from local residents, representatives of civil society organizations, and Islamic scholars.

The event took place on Sunday, October 4, 2026, at the Selahaddin Eyyubi Mosque Conference Hall. The program began with an introduction by Mehmet Kaya, followed by a recitation of the Holy Qur’an by Hafiz Cemal Yigit. The conference examined the background of the genocide in Gaza, the objectives of the occupying Zionist entity, and the problem of the Muslim lands being left without unified leadership.

Speakers at the conference emphasized that the issue of Gaza is neither a national cause nor merely a matter of humanitarian assistance, but rather a cause directly concerning Islam. They underlined that the path to a solution lies not through Western institutions, but through the unity of the Muslim Ummah.

Delivering the closing speech of the conference, Mahmut Kar, Head of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Türkiye, addressing Muslim armies and rulers, Kar made the following remarks: “As long as Gaza remains under occupation, Baghdad, Diyarbakir, and Istanbul also remain under the shadow of occupation. We cannot stand idle! We cannot remain silent!”

America's Strategy on the International Stage: A Four-Dimensional Siege and the Stumbling of a Faltering Hegemony

By: Ustadh Badr al-Din ibn 'Abd Allah

Upon his return to the White House, Trump began taking sharp, sometimes provocative, and unexpected steps in the field of foreign policy. What may appear on the surface as disparate or impulsive moves are, in reality, nothing but manifestations of a unified and aggressive geopolitical doctrine aimed at preserving America's global hegemony and rebuilding its security belts. This strategy is being implemented through four principal geopolitical axes:

1. The American Continent: The Updated Monroe Doctrine and the First Security Belt

Within the framework of protecting its vital interests, America aims to cleanse the American continent—the first security belt—primarily of foreign powers. The Monroe Doctrine (America for the Americans), which had weakened for a long period, is regaining its momentum:

- Control of the Panama Canal: This vital artery of global trade and strategic transit was reclaimed from under the influence of Chinese companies and returned entirely to Washington's control. This represents a decisive blow to Beijing's logistical influence in the Latin American region.
- Control of Regimes and Arrangements in Latin America: Through overt pressure, military and political machinations, and military coups against opposing regimes, America has begun shaping

governments that carry out its orders without hesitation. The purchasing of loyalties and the employment of local military leaders has provoked a state of extreme confusion and alarm among military elites worldwide, forcing many countries to conduct urgent rotations and replacements within their armies.

- Ending Britain's Influence: Through its overt support for Javier Milei in Argentina, Washington has used him to reignite the issue of the Falkland Islands (Islas Malvinas), which are under British control. Similarly, the economic and political demands imposed on Canada are not merely regional aspirations; instead, they are moves aimed at completely uprooting the historical roots and influence of Britain on this continent.

- The Border Wall and Domestic Pressure: The wall on the southern border and the ban on immigration are not merely measures against refugees; instead, they are an organizational part of a policy aimed at fully subjugating sincere Muslims within America to the system, and exerting pressure on those who refuse to comply, either by tightening restrictions on them or deporting them.

In summary, America is working to entrench its absolute hegemony over its continent; this is achieved by excluding China from Panama, undermining the economic interests of Russia and China on the continent, and also limiting Britain's influence.

2. The European Axis: Replicating Hegemony and the Second Safety Belt

The deep penetration of Chinese capital and technology into Europe began to cast a shadow over America's absolute hegemony on the Old Continent. To revive its dominance, Washington resorted to activating decisive tools:

- Strategic intimidation: The public claims over Greenland sowed panic within European political circles. The true objective of this claim was not to acquire territory, but rather to blackmail Europe and force it to sever all its relations with Russia and China.
- Imposing the financial burden: By compelling Europe to bear the expenses of the NATO alliance, America succeeds in securing a “second safety belt” not at its own expense, but at the expense of the Europeans’ own money.

3. The Anti-China Policy: Strangling the Potential Competitor by Land and Sea

Since America sees China as its greatest material competitor, reminiscent of its own burgeoning economic and industrial power before the Second World War, it has already begun the process of isolating it on the international stage:

- Dismantling Alliances: In order to undermine the strategic partnership between China and Russia, Russia was lured into the Ukrainian quagmire until its strength was depleted. The plan aims to lure Beijing into a similar quagmire through the gateway of Taiwan.
- Disrupting Supply Chains: Consecutive strikes were directed at China’s global trade routes; the Panama Canal was closed to its influence, and energy flows from Latin America and the Tehran-Moscow alliance were obstructed. Attempts are being made to slow down the Chinese economic engine by depriving its refineries and reprocessing facilities—designed to handle specific types of raw materials—of access to these materials.

4. The Strategy Directed at the Islamic Lands: Containing the Real, Manifest Danger

However, the greatest, most fundamental, and historical danger for America is not represented by China or Russia. After America wrested international leadership from Britain, it also inherited from it the banner of fighting Islam. Today, it considers the essential condition for preserving its shaky throne to lie in preventing the return of Islam to the arena of life and the seat of governance:

- Ongoing Crusades: The destruction of Afghanistan in 2001, Iraq in 2003, and later Somalia and other Muslim lands was solely to prevent Islamic countries from rising.

- Quelling the Awakening: The wave of public awakening (the Arab Spring) that erupted in 2011 was misled through false democratic slogans and deceptive movements. The revolutions in Egypt, Tunisia, and Libya turned into counterrevolutions at the hands of Western agents. As for Syria, its people—who refused to submit to the colonialists—were showered with thousands of tons of NATO and Russian missiles.

- Inciting Global Repression: America has given the green light for other countries to brutally suppress Muslims in order to subjugate them and break their will. The genocide of the Uyghurs in China, the demolition of masajid in India, the massacres in Myanmar, and the systematic oppression in Russia and Europe—all of this is part of an extended policy to crush the Islamic Ummah and constrict it from every side.

- The Genocide in Gaza and the Zionist Shield: The atrocities committed by the Jewish entity in Palestine are not merely measures to ensure its security. Instead, they constitute a massacre managed and directly sponsored by Washington, with the aim of intimidating Muslims in the region, preventing their

unification around their single Islamic shield—the Khilafah (Caliphate)—and breaking their awareness and will.

- The Iran Card and the Manufactured Conflict: After the unparalleled steadfastness of the people of Gaza led to a surge of admiration and interest in Islam across the globe, America moved to activate the Iran file to divert public attention. Washington is pushing this scheme to achieve two objectives: the first is to control Iranian oil and waterways to choke China, and the second is to entrench the illusion of a “Greater Israel” among the Jewish entity while keeping it merely as a tool and agent in its hand.

In conclusion: The erratic aggression that America is displaying today does not express its absolute power. Instead, it is clear evidence of America’s loss of control over global governance and the deep crisis afflicting its system. The problem facing Muslims today is not merely the policy of a specific regime, but the final throes and death rattle of the entire capitalist order, which is gripped by terror of the anticipated Islamic resurgence. The only way to break this quadruple siege lies in the Muslims abandoning the borders and geopolitical illusions drawn by the West, and integrating together to work towards establishing their true shield: the Khilafah Rashidah (rightly-guided Caliphate).

﴿يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا اسْتَجِيبُوا لِلَّهِ وَلِلرَّسُولِ إِذَا دَعَاكُمْ﴾
**O You Who Have Believed,
Respond to Allah and to the Messenger
When He Calls You to That Which Gives
You Life” [TMQ Surah Al-Anfal: 24]**

The Messenger of Allah ﷺ said: «مَا مِنْ أَمْرٍ يَخْذُلُ أَمْرًا مُسْلِمًا فِي مَوْضِعٍ تَنْتَهَكَ فِيهِ حُرْمَتُهُ وَيُنْتَقِصُ فِيهِ مِنْ عَرَضِهِ إِلَّا خَذَلَهُ اللَّهُ فِي مَوْطِنٍ يُحِبُّ فِيهِ نَصْرَتَهُ» “There is no person who forsakes a Muslim in a place where his sanctity is violated and his honour is diminished, except that Allah will forsake him in a situation where he would love to be helped,” Sunan Abi Dawood

Gaza is a mirror for the Ummah; its ruins reflect our weakness, but its steadfastness reveals our potential. Its orphans condemn our rulers, but they also awaken our emotions. And its resilience proves that even under starvation and siege, they cannot extinguish the embers of Iman.

The Ummah’s destiny is to rise again under a sincere leadership, to carry the justice of Islam to humanity, and to liberate Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa (المسجد الاقصى) as part of its divine trust. History will bear witness to our steadfastness upon the truth or our surrender to compromise. The blood of Gaza and the steadfastness of its people point to one conclusion: the solution is the establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood. The time for working towards it has come, so that Allah ﷻ may fulfill His promise: ﴿وَعَدَ اللَّهُ الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا مِنْكُمْ وَعَمِلُوا الصَّالِحَاتِ لَيَسْتَخْلِفَنَّهُمْ فِي الْأَرْضِ كَمَا اسْتَخْلَفَ الَّذِينَ مِنْ قَبْلِهِمْ وَلَيُمَكِّنَنَّ لَهُمْ دِينَهُمُ الَّذِي ارْتَضَى لَهُمْ وَلَيُبَدِّلَنَّهُمْ مِنْ بَعْدِ خَوْفِهِمْ أَمْنًا يَعْبُدُونَنِي لَا يُشْرِكُونَ بِي شَيْئًا﴾ “Allah

has promised those who have believed among you and done righteous deeds that He will surely grant them succession to authority upon the earth just as He granted it to those before them and that He will surely establish for them their Deen which He has preferred for them and that He will surely substitute for them, after their fear, security: for they worship Me, not associating anything with Me,” [TMQ Surah An-Nur: 55].

The Rulers of Egypt and Sudan Regard Muslim Blood as Cheap, and Only the Khilafah (Caliphate) Will Protect It

Under the Arabic headline: “Dozens of Sudanese Miners Killed and Injured Near the Egyptian Border,” Sudan Tribune reported today, Sunday, 11/10/2026, that dozens of Sudanese miners had lost their lives and others had been injured on Saturday, 10/10/2026, following the renewed airstrikes on mining sites near the Sudanese-Egyptian border, while hundreds fled the targeted sites towards the mountains amid difficult humanitarian conditions.

Last June, Egyptian warplanes carried out a similar attack that resulted in deaths and injuries. Just as the Sudanese authorities took no action regarding that incident at the time, they are now taking refuge in silence, as though those who were killed meant nothing to them!

The official spokesperson of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan, Ustadh Ibrahim Othman (Abu Khalil), stated in a press release: “Has the blood of Muslims become so cheap to this extent?! Why does the Egyptian regime wade in the blood of Muslims and direct its war machine against unarmed miners, who have been displaced by the senseless war in Sudan and have gone searching for their livelihood in the vast land of Allah, while the Jews who occupy Al-Aqsa Mosque, kill our brothers and sisters in Palestine, and destroy Gaza Hashem remain secure, even though the Egyptian regime and its soldiers are within a stone’s throw of them and do not lift a finger? Rather, they assist the Jews by closing the crossing between Egypt and our people in Gaza!

As for the Sudanese government, has humiliation reached such a point that it remains silent with this disgraceful silence?! It is responsible for those who were killed, injured, and left to wander aimlessly, yet we do not hear even the slightest condemnation of this heinous act. Or has the blood of the people of Sudan become cheaper to them than the blood of a sparrow, making it easy for them to disregard these lives?!”

Ustadh Abu Khalil concluded his statement, emphasizing: “Know, O rulers of Egypt and rulers of Sudan, that even if you escape accountability before the State of the Khilafah (Caliphate), which will soon be restored, by Allah’s permission, you will not escape the punishment of Allah, the Avenger, the Compeller, on the Day when the excuses of the wrongdoers will not benefit them.”

Why Is Trump Demanding a New President in Ukraine?

By: Ustadh Fadl Amzayev

On October 10, 2026, during a meeting with journalists, Trump made a striking statement: “I think it's time for Ukraine to get new president.” He expressed his presidential request to Ukraine with the following words: “We said you could do whatever you want to Russia, don't hit the refineries, because that's a world problem that's caused. And [Zelenskyy] wants to make problems for the world,” Trump said. “He can do whatever he wants. But you can't do that because we have a world problem. And the problem is caused by the lack of refineries for diesel fuel. So what does he do? He goes and hits refineries...So, he better well stop.”

Thus, Trump claims that Ukrainian drone strikes on Russian oil refineries are causing a fuel shortage in the global market and leading to a rise in global diesel prices.

Kyiv asserts that attacks on Russian refineries are among the most effective ways to deprive the Kremlin of export revenues and restrict fuel supplies to the Russian army. Therefore, despite American warnings—and following a two-day pause—Ukraine resumed its attacks on Russian oil refineries, citing ongoing Russian strikes as justification, which has further provoked Trump's displeasure.

When examining the reasons behind Trump's new round of attacks on Ukraine, and Zelenskyy in particular, the following aspects must be considered: If Trump truly wanted to stand by Russia in this conflict and end it, he could have used more

effective tools to crush the Ukrainian president's position by taking harsher measures such as:

- Imposing a complete ban on American weapons to Ukraine, meaning a total prohibition on the sale of any American components or missiles, even through intermediary European countries.
- Imposing a complete intelligence blackout, meaning Ukraine would be completely cut off from all US satellite data, targeting systems, and coordination.
- Imposing secondary sanctions on Ukraine or European companies, which are sanctions on third countries for funding the Ukrainian defense industry.
- Completely halting Starlink services throughout Ukraine, both military and civilian. Currently, a strict speed limit for military stations remains in place—up to 161 km/h—which prevents the direct use of stations against fast-attack aircraft and medium- to long-range jet drones.

All these pressure tools—or more accurately, the fact that they are not being used—succinctly and expressively summarize Trump's words to Zelenskyy: "We said you could do whatever you want to Russia, don't hit the refineries."

The latest pressure tool—restricting the operation of the military version of Starlink so that Ukraine becomes technically incapable of launching long-range strikes on oil refineries deep within Russian territory—indicates that the goal of Trump's recent activity is not to end the war, but to achieve other gains.

When questioning the interpretation of Trump's behavior, the closest answer to the truth is that America is on the verge of holding its midterm congressional elections on November 3,

2026. For American presidents, midterm elections serve as a kind of test—a review of their performance over the previous two years of their term. But for Trump, the 2026 midterm elections represent nearly everything: control of both houses of Congress, and with it, his ability to push through his chaotic policies unimpeded.

Republicans are not in a good position ahead of these elections. Like Americans everywhere, Republican voters are concerned about the cost-of-living crisis and diesel prices. According to opinion polls, Americans' optimism about the state of the economy has fallen to less than 30%. And about 96% of Republican voters believe the country is experiencing a cost-of-living crisis.

As for the Democrats, they are actively exploiting the issue of fuel prices and inflation, accusing Trump and the Republicans of failing to protect the average American.

Therefore, it is essential for Trump and his campaign to find someone outside America to blame for the rise in fuel prices and to show that Trump is taking urgent measures to save America's budget.

In light of this, Ukraine's strikes on Russian refineries became an ideal target to serve as justifications for Trump's campaign. Thus, Trump attempted to shift the focus from the consequences of his own foreign policy (including the war on Iran, which also led to rising oil prices) to Zelenskyy's actions.

The desire to lower prices before Election Day is what drove Trump to strike a prominent deal with Putin to purchase hundreds of thousands of tons of Russian diesel fuel, defying sanctions.

This is also the reason for the swift announcement of a “ceasefire in the energy field” and the public demand that Ukraine “change its president” or accept a deal. All this aims to create the impression among the American people that Trump is forcefully imposing order, while Ukraine’s leadership is preventing it. Trump needs at least a short-term ceasefire during the elections to shield the Republicans from defeat.

Here, it is also necessary to point out important factors that prevent Trump from using all pressure tools against Ukraine, including:

- A full withdrawal of American support would hand the initiative to Europe, which is increasingly building independent supply systems for Ukraine; losing control over these would cause Trump to lose his role as the “primary peacemaker” who sets the terms of the agreement.
- A complete ban on arms sales through European procurement would deprive American military companies of contracts worth billions of dollars—a move opposed by Congress and lobbying groups in America.
- A complete withdrawal of support could lead to a chaotic military defeat for Ukraine, which even Trump's supporters in America might consider a catastrophic geopolitical failure for America itself, similar to the withdrawal of its forces from Afghanistan.
- It is also worth noting that America wants to grant Russia additional funds to continue this war, because this war weakens all of its geopolitical rivals (Europe, Russia, and China), and it is essentially the goose that lays the golden egg for America.

The continuation of the war in Ukraine is a strategic interest for America; therefore, this policy cannot be affected even by overrated presidents like Trump, nor by threats of shifting power in Congress and the Senate from one party to another.

Therefore, the current tension in Ukrainian-American relations is temporary, and after the congressional elections, regardless of the Republicans' results, we are likely to witness a shift in Trump's rhetoric and his return to the policy he has followed over the past eighteen months since assuming his second term in the White House. Specifically, this means continuing the war in Ukraine while systematically shifting the economic burden of that war onto the Europeans.

The Infiltration of the Jewish Entity and the Treachery of Localizing Its Military Production in Morocco

By: Ustadh Munaji Muhammad

The Ministry of War of the Jewish entity announced the opening of a pavilion at the Marrakech Air Show 2026, marking its first official participation in a defense exhibition in Morocco. It stated that eight military companies of the entity are showcasing technologies it described as advanced and battle-tested during the exhibition's events, which continue until Saturday, October 10th.

In Gaza, there is slaughter, killing, starvation, and genocide, while in Morocco, the killer parades his weapons of genocide and imports his military companies to establish them there—transforming it into a factory for his war production and a supply base for his war against the children of Islam!

The national state established by colonialism in Morocco and its agent regime are steeped in treachery, and Morocco's relations with the Jewish entity are part of its political agency. It has dedicated itself to providing the Jews with the means to occupy Palestine and desecrate Al-Masjid Al-Aqsa by facilitating the migration of Moroccan Jews to the land of Palestine in what was called Operation Yachin (the migration that took place between 1961 and 1964). This was followed by security and intelligence cooperation between the regime and the Jewish entity, which began in 1963 and has not ceased to this day. Then came the public meeting in the 1980's with the Jewish entity's Prime Minister Shimon Peres in Morocco on 22 July 1986, which the

then U.S. President Ronald Reagan described as a historic meeting.

Subsequently, the Moroccan regime acted as the godfather of the treachery of “peace” between Egypt and the Jewish entity, with the Fes Palace serving as its incubator. Then, on 1st September 1994, Morocco and the Jewish entity announced the establishment of liaison offices in Rabat and Tel Aviv. In October 1994, the Moroccan regime hosted the Middle East and North Africa Economic Conference in Casablanca, attended by officials from the entity, led by Peres. Then, on 22 December 2020, the tripartite declaration was signed under American sponsorship between Morocco and the entity in Rabat as part of the Abraham Accords process, and diplomatic relations took on their comprehensive official character. Flights officially resumed, and Jews flocked for tourism and relaxation from the killing and genocide of the Muslims of the occupied Blessed Land of Palestine. Visits by entity officials then followed in succession, and agreements proliferated, covering all fields. In August 2021, Yair Lapid, its Foreign Minister, visited Rabat and met his counterpart Nasser Bourita. The discussions included activating institutional cooperation in the sectors of tourism, aviation, agriculture, energy, innovation, and investment. In November 2021, a memorandum of understanding for defense cooperation between Morocco and the Jewish entity was signed during the visit of the Jewish entity’s war minister Benny Gantz to Morocco as part of a strategic defense partnership. In 2022, military and economic cooperation between the regime and the entity was expanded through high-level military visits and communications, including a visit by the Chief of Staff of the Jewish entity, Aviv Kochavi, to Morocco, and a visit by Morocco’s Inspector General of the Royal Armed Forces, Belkhir El Farouk, to the Jewish entity.

Since the outbreak of the war on Gaza on 7 October 2023, a shocking, treacherous paradox has emerged, embodied by the continuation—and even growth—of official relations and economic and defense cooperation. A report by the Heritage Foundation on the Abraham Accords over five years confirmed the increase in the value of goods trade between the Jewish entity and Morocco during the war on Gaza and the genocide of its people. Even more appalling are documented instances of commercial ships docking at Moroccan ports in 2024 and 2025 carrying military supplies for the Jewish occupation army in support of its genocide campaign.

Then the Moroccan regime raised the banner of betrayal, donned its cap, and announced in September 2026 the opening of an embassy for the Jewish entity, following a meeting between Nasser Bourita and Gideon Sa'ar in New York under American sponsorship. With this, the reality of bilateral military-defense cooperation and the meaning of the strategic partnership between them was laid bare: it is the localization of the Jewish entity's war production on Moroccan soil, transforming it into a manufacturing, production, and military supply base for the Jewish entity. This is achieved through producing munitions, equipment, and drones linked to its weaponry. Military agreements have proliferated, accompanied by the establishment of factories for its war production in Morocco: the Defense Cooperation Agreement in 2021, the Industrial Cooperation Memorandum with the Jewish entity's Aerospace Industries company in 2022, and then its companies established factories in Morocco. Reuters reported the existence of a facility specialized in weapon systems in the Midparc zone near Casablanca, a project for producing munitions in Sidi Yahya, and a project for a

factory producing Spy-X model drones linked to the company BlueBird Aero Systems in the city of Benslimane.

This military localization also encompasses command, control, communications, computers, and intelligence (C4I) systems—a step that moves beyond the production of ammunition, equipment, and drones into the most sensitive and dangerous security domain. It constitutes a complete security exposure before the entity.

Therefore, strategically, this military localization of the Jewish entity in Morocco must be viewed as an attempt to contain its suffocating strategic security dilemma, stemming from its small geography where its population, infrastructure, vital facilities, energy and transportation hubs are concentrated in a very narrow geographical space, making them exposed targets and soft underbellies. Additionally, the Jewish entity suffers from a severe lack of strategic depth, with short and intertwined distances between its borders and population centers, economic and military hubs, and vital facilities. This presents a structural defensive dilemma concerning the distance and time available to absorb military shocks, and the narrow margin for maneuver and response. This dilemma is exacerbated by the development of long-range missiles and drones, as well as its hostile Islamic surroundings, which form a lethal geopolitical ring that exhausts all fronts once activated. Its strategic vulnerability and security fragility were exposed in the face of missiles and drones. Thus, its objective in military localization in Morocco is to establish an auxiliary industrial and strategic depth to secure its vital needs and supply its military during wartime.

The Marrakech Air Show this time came as an official announcement of the localization of the Jewish entity's military

production operations within Moroccan facilities, which was translated by the participation of its major military companies.

Thus, in a dark betrayal, the war production of the Jewish entity is being localized in Morocco under the guise of domestic manufacturing, transferring its weapons factories to Morocco and converting it into a base for war production, supplying it with its tools of genocide through a soft usurpation of the land of Islam, Morocco.

So today, this treacherous regime seeks to transform Morocco into an industrial and strategic hinterland for the Jewish entity, turning the sons and daughters of Muslims in Morocco into conscripts in its occupying army—manufacturing and developing its ammunition, weapons, and equipment to wage genocide on their own brothers in Gaza, the West Bank, Lebanon, Yemen, Ash-Sham (the Levant), Iraq, and the rest of the lands of Islam.

What a source of loss and ruin the regimes of treachery and disgrace have brought you, O sons and daughters of Islam! Your salvation lies only in Islam—by implementing its Shariah and establishing its state—or your loss and ruin lie in your submission to the regimes of treachery and disgrace!

A Delegation from Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah of Sudan Visits Emir Awad al- Jayyid al-Na'ima, Emir of the Kawahla Tribe

A delegation from Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah Sudan visited Amir Awad al-Jayyid al-Na'ima on Tuesday, 2 Jumada al-Awwal 1448 AH—corresponding to October 13, 2026 CE—to check on his well-being following his summons by the security authorities. The delegation was led by Dr. Ahmed Abd al-Fadil, a member of the Hizb ut Tahrir Council in Wilayah Sudan. He was accompanied by council member Muhyi al-Din Bukhari, as well as Hizb's members Muzammil al-Siddiq, Khalid al-Juda'i, and Abd al-Wahhab Muhammad, in addition to Abd al-Qadir Ibrahim Abd al-Wahhab.

In a gathering attended by a significant number of notables, princes, and tribal leaders, Emir Awad al-Jayyid spoke about the circumstances surrounding his interrogation, asserting that he had nothing to fear— for his work was done for the sake of Allah, and the support shown to him through these visits—as well as the presence of these notables—had only served to strengthen his resolve.

Then, Amir Muhammad Zain (Abu Hafs) introduced Dr. Ahmed Abdel-Fadil, who proceeded to present the delegation to the Emirs and notables present. Dr. Abdel-Fadil explained that they were a delegation from Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah of Sudan, and that they had come to stand in support of the Amir upon hearing the news of his arrest. He noted that they had visited the Amir at his home just two weeks earlier, and emphasized that the Amir's stances—rooted in the foundations of Islam—and his work,

undertaken solely for the sake of Allah, compel them to stand firmly by his side. A Muslim is the brother of another Muslim, and it is Islam that binds us all together.

In their remarks, the emirs and notables affirmed their commitment to Islam and a return to its principles, asserting that it is the only solution to rescue the Ummah from its plight and to improve the condition of both the country and its people.

The visit was well received by the Emir, as well as by the notables.

The War on the Hijab Is a Crusade Against Islam

O Muslims: Western countries are competing to wage war against Muslim women and restrict their freedoms, in complete contradiction to their claims of safeguarding rights and liberties and the slogans they raise. The West's actions against Muslim women, through banning the hijab and niqab, expose its fears of Islam and its spread. This is a determined effort to preserve the secular nature of the state and defend the concepts of Western civilisation. It also reflects the contradictions in these countries' conduct: they disregard what they call personal freedoms whenever they perceive a threat to their sovereignty or a danger to their secularism.

O Muslims, O Muslim women in the West: The war on the hijab and niqab is a civilisational war against Islam, even when they seek to erase its features under the cover of "maintaining security" or "defending girls who have been deprived of their freedom of choice"! This cover will quickly fall away, and all the masks will fall with it, revealing faces filled with hatred for Islam and its people. You are the ones holding firmly to your religion, like someone holding burning embers in their hands. You are the ones fighting the battle to remain steadfast and preserve the concepts of your religion. Do not allow them to assimilate your sons and daughters into their civilisation. Instead, strengthen and firmly establish Islamic culture in their hearts.

Therefore, the idea that the state should be neutral towards religion is fundamentally false. We cannot be anything other than those acted upon within this international system unless we adopt Islam and work to restore its full implementation by

establishing the Khilafah (Caliphate) upon the method of the Prophethood. In such a state, we will not be subservient to foreigners; rather, we will stand as their equal. We will not wait for favours or appeals for sympathy from Western countries that regard the hijab as an injustice against women or merely a matter of personal freedom. Rather, we regard it as submission and servitude to Allah, Glorified and Exalted is He.