



Ar-Rayah Newspaper

Issue 611 (5 August 2026)

(Translated from Arabic)

Editorial: The West Bank: A Powder Keg! **Page 2**

Feature Article: The Situation in Sudan and the American Objectives **Page 7**

What Hizb ut Tahrir Warned About Nine Years Ago Became a Reality **Page 18**

Isn't It Time for Al-Aqsa Mosque To Be Purified?! **Page 21**

Political Perspectives: Netanyahu's Visit to the US: Reasons and Outcomes **Page 24**

The NATO Conference in Ankara and Türkiye's Role in It **Page 29**

Contemporary Political Idolatry: How Do the Guardians of Idols Protect the Idol of the Democratic Republican System? **Page 34**

Peace with the Jews is Haram (حرام Forbidden) in Shariah and Dangerous Politically **Page 39**

Jeffrey Sachs's Visit to Uzbekistan: An Indicator of Central Asia's Importance in the Global Economy **Page 44**

US Delegation Walks Out of UN Security Council Meeting! **Page 46**

A sincere and devoted Dawah is one that speaks the truth and strives to please the Lord of the Worlds, Allah ﷻ by implementing His Shariah under the shade of the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood. It alone is capable of severing the hand of the West that tampers with the unity of our lands; it alone can preserve our lives and honor, and establish security and safety, while seeking the pleasure of the Most Merciful, Allah ﷻ.

The West Bank: A Powder Keg!

By: Dr. Mu'min Abdullah

Raids on cities and villages, home searches, arrests, smashed furniture, and curfews; checkpoints, closures, and land confiscation; settler attacks, livestock theft, and the burning or destruction of crops; killings, beatings, and abuse inflicted upon those attempting to confront settlers or defend their families, property, and lives; judicial rulings and Knesset legislation legitimizing demolition and land seizure, alongside actual home demolitions and the expulsion of residents... These are the headlines emerging from the West Bank around the clock—often occurring simultaneously.

Anyone following these events and news reports can clearly see that what is unfolding is the practical implementation of the agendas held by politicians within the Jewish entity. The intentions of the entity—and of its nationalist and religious-nationalist parties—regarding the West Bank are no longer a secret; the statements made by its leaders, most notably Ben-Gvir and Smotrich, are public, clear, and explicit. These policies are issued and executed under the umbrella of the right-wing coalition led by Netanyahu. Yet, in his meetings with Trump and Western leaders, Netanyahu speaks of Lebanon, Syria, Iran, and Turkey—as if to imply that the West Bank issue is not a matter for foreign policy discussions or prime ministerial summits, but instead something delegated to the bloodthirsty ministers around him who are eager to carry out mass displacement.

Under the successive policies of the Jewish entity and the current alliance it leads, the West Bank has been reduced to scattered

enclaves, isolated zones, and inaccessible lands; it is a place of crops that cannot be harvested and homes threatened with demolition—some already reduced to rubble mixed with the very furniture residents could not salvage. The West Bank has transformed from a territory comprising 22% of the Blessed Land—as defined by the ill-fated Oslo Accords—to less than 9% under the entity’s newly enacted laws and regulations; indeed, demolition and confiscation have now extended into the so-called Areas “A” and “B”—the remaining 9% supposedly under the administration of the Palestinian Authority. The threat facing the West Bank is real; the territory has never been peripheral to the policies of the Jewish entity but rather a central issue. For the Labor Party—which led the Jewish entity at its inception and shaped its policies for decades—the West Bank constituted a narrow yet indispensable strategic depth.

Consequently, leaders from that political camp, from Ben-Gurion to Rabin and Peres, obstructed any initiative that would sever the West Bank from their entity, including the two-state solution. For right-wing nationalist or secular parties, the West Bank is an integral, non-negotiable part of the Jewish entity. Meanwhile, for Torah-based and religious-nationalist parties, it is the Holy Land, home to their most significant claimed holy sites and cities of profound religious and biblical importance—foremost among them Al-Quds, Al-Khalil, Nablus, and Jericho. In their view, this territory is holier and more significant than Haifa, Jaffa, or Acre; indeed, some believe the state’s establishment remains incomplete without control over the West Bank—or what they term the regions of Judea and Samaria.

This threat was previously tempered by American pressure and by economic, political, and security red lines that frequently

constrained the entity's policies and ambitions, thereby influencing its political calculations and internal party dynamics. These factors acted as mutual checks when the discussion turned to the West Bank or the implementation of major projects there. Instead, a strategy of settlement cancer—gradually imposing facts on the ground—was preferred, exploiting regional political and military events, global shifts, and the outcomes of US elections. However, with the rise of nationalist and biblical-nationalist parties to the leadership of the Jewish entity, and amidst the succession of major events since October 7, 2023, the danger has escalated manifold; foreign pressures have dissipated, the deterrent effect of red lines has weakened, and the threat has become imminent and the situation perilous.

While the Palestinian Authority has spent years impoverishing the population and driving the West Bank into economic, healthcare, educational, and moral decline—further constricting an already dire situation for the people—the occupying Jewish entity has conversely been busy bringing thousands of settlers into the West Bank. It has armed tens of thousands of them with assault rifles and trained them in their use, all while fostering a criminal ideology that advocates for the killing, displacement, and elimination of Arabs.

This has transitioned into the practical implementation of such criminality through mini-scenarios of violence in villages across Ramallah, Al-Khalil, Nablus, and elsewhere. Efforts are intensifying to build a settler army that is no less—and indeed even more—criminal than the official military; a force modeled after the Haganah and Irgun gangs that perpetrated massacres in 1948, and one that is eager to repeat that bloody history. The very parties overseeing the settler army—parties Netanyahu

needs to form a government should the upcoming elections take place—are turning the West Bank into a vote-magnet for their bloodthirsty electorate. They await the post-election moment when Netanyahu must turn to them for a coalition, ready to place their key agendas on the table: annexation, displacement, and the termination of any media or political discourse regarding the two-state solution—a concept already effectively dismantled on the ground. Netanyahu has long harbored this dream, yet it previously collided with domestic obstacles—such as the demographic nightmare and the administrative burden of three million Muslims—as well as regional Arab understandings based on the two-state solution and an American veto rejecting such moves. Now, however, that arrogant figure has grown bolder in discussing the West Bank’s future, its impending status, and the fate of its inhabitants.

Time is running out for the people of the West Bank. Those who escape the voluntary displacement—orchestrated by the criminal entity with the aid of the collaborationist Authority and the supervision of its corrupt officials—now face the threat of forced displacement, either within the West Bank or beyond its borders, at the hands of the Jewish entity’s army and settlers. Relying on opposition from the regimes ruling Muslim lands is sheer nonsense, and pinning hopes on the American veto, political calculations, or the interests and agendas of nations is a perilous gamble, especially given the current climate of change. As for banking on the elections of the Jewish entity—or on its politicians, military figures, and civilians—that is nothing short of madness.

It is imperative for people in Muslim lands—especially the surrounding states of Egypt, Syria, Jordan, and Lebanon—to grasp

this reality. The narrative has shifted: the danger in the West Bank was once seen as a harbinger of threats to them, but now the danger within their own borders is exacerbating the peril facing the West Bank. This is particularly true following the displacement of nearly a million people from southern Lebanon, the direct military threats against Damascus, and incursions into villages in southern Syria. Indeed, politicians must join any political initiative that drives military action capable of reshuffling the cards and turning the tide; military personnel must act immediately to salvage the situation, making defiance the defining theme of this phase; and civilians must support the politicians while pressuring the military to rescue their brethren in the West Bank and across Palestine—thereby saving themselves and their own countries as well.

Answer to Question: The Situation in Sudan and the American Objectives

Question:

“The battles between the Sudanese army and the Rapid Support Forces militia have recently reached their peak in North Kordofan State in south-central Sudan...” (Al-Araby Al-Jadeed, 28/7/2026). The fighting continues after more than three years, especially after the Rapid Support Forces seized control of El Fasher on 26/10/2025, and the army withdrew from it. The American proposal presented by US Envoy Massad Boulos on 20/6/2026, for a three-month truce, did not alleviate the escalation of these battles. So, where are things heading in Sudan? What does America aim to achieve with its proposed solution? And is it possible to achieve it soon? May Allah reward you with the good.

Answer:

To clarify the answers to the questions above, we will review the following:

1- The Rapid Support Forces signed the Sudanese Constitutional Charter on 22/2/2025, in the Kenyan capital, Nairobi. Subsequently, the Sudanese Founding Alliance (Tasees) was officially established. This alliance (coalition) announced on Saturday, 26/7/2025, the formation of a parallel government to the official authorities in the country. “The alliance's spokesperson, Alaa El-Din Naqd, announced from Nyala, the capital of South Darfur State, in a statement via the X platform, that the alliance's leadership body held a meeting and decided to form a presidential council consisting of 15 members, headed by

the commander of the Rapid Support Forces, Mohamed Hamdan Dagalo (Hemedti), with the head of the Sudan People's Liberation Movement-North, Abdel Aziz al-Hilu, as his deputy, and Mohamed Hassan al-Taishi as prime minister.” (Al-Jazirah, 27/7/2025)

2- On 26/11/2025, the Rapid Support Forces (RSF) announced their control of El Fasher, the capital of North Darfur State in western Sudan, which they had been besieging since May 2024. The city holds strategic importance due to its location on the borders with Libya, Chad, and the western cities of the Darfur region. Also, it is the administrative and political capital. Its capture carries symbolic weight, not to mention its historical significance as the capital of the Darfur Sultanate (1604-1874), further enhancing its symbolic value in the narrative of the parallel "Sudanese Founding Alliance" (Tasees) government. (The RSF announced on Sunday that they had seized control of El Fasher, which had been under siege for over a year. This development means that the RSF has consolidated its control over all five Darfur states, and the country has effectively been divided into two parts: an eastern region under the control of the Sudanese army, and a western region under the control of the RSF.” (Al Jazeera, 28/10/2025). El Fasher was the only one of the five Darfur states that the RSF had not yet captured.

3- Thus, following the Rapid Support Forces' takeover of Darfur, America's long-planned scheme to separate Darfur from Sudan was realized. The Sudanese army contributed to this outcome by announcing its withdrawal from the city under the pretext of preventing systematic destruction and the killing of civilians, while it is well known that it handed the city over to the RSF on the instructions or at the behest of America to implement

this plan. We clarified this clearly in our “[Answer to a Question](#)” [issued on 3/11/2025](#), which stated:

[Further evidence of America's gathering of its two agents' delegations in Washington is this: (A diplomatic official confirmed yesterday, Thursday, that the Quartet (the United States, Saudi Arabia, the UAE, and Egypt) would meet today in Washington with representatives of the Sudanese army and the Rapid Support Forces to push both sides toward a three-month humanitarian truce. He said the goal was "to exert unified pressure to solidify the ceasefire and allow humanitarian aid to reach civilians," Al-Arabiya, 24/10/2025]. This means that the timing of the RSF storming of El Fasher and the Sudanese army's evacuation of it, coinciding with the Washington meeting, leaves no doubt that the decision to hand over the strategic city to the RSF was made in Washington and that the two Sudanese parties immediately began implementing it on the ground, i.e., two days later, with the outcome achieved on the third day.]. **End Quote.**

4- Following the Rapid Support Forces' takeover of El Fasher on 26/10/2025, the parallel government's Presidential Council announced on 1/6/2026, decisions concerning a unified national army, security, defense, and intelligence services, aimed at organizing the management of national security and defense files during the transitional period (Sky News Arabia, 1/6/2026). All of this demonstrates that the US had been planning for a long time to hand over El Fasher to the RSF. It appears they were simply waiting for the suitable time and circumstances. It is clear from all of this that the US is pursuing a carefully orchestrated strategy to divide Sudan by building a state on the ground, step by step, through the Nairobi Charter, the declaration of the parallel government, ministerial appointments, and decisions regarding

the army and intelligence services. First, the constitutional structure of the entity to be established in the west was paved with the Nairobi Charter, then it announced to the world the political institutionalization of this entity through the formation of the parallel government headed by Hemedti and the appointment of Al-Taishi as Prime Minister, followed by decisions of the ministries and the banking system. All that remained was to move the parallel government, whose capital is Nyala, to El-Fasher, which has symbolic importance and still constitutes the administrative and political capital of the state.

5- Then, on 20/6/2026, a new American proposal emerged through the US President's Special Envoy for Arab and African Affairs, Massad Boulos, calling for acceptance of the status quo. His updated paper to the Sudanese government proposed a comprehensive and immediate 90-day nationwide humanitarian truce to facilitate the delivery of humanitarian aid to those in need, protect civilians, and repair infrastructure, facilities, and public services. The paper also proposed “the establishment of a UN mechanism, with the participation of the African Union and the League of Arab States, to support limited military withdrawals that would facilitate the delivery of humanitarian aid, protect civilians, create conditions for the return of displaced persons, and establish a coordination committee to oversee the implementation of the agreement, along with mechanisms for monitoring and resolving any disputes that might arise during the truce.” The paper also called “for using the truce period to negotiate a permanent ceasefire and initiate a civilian-led transition process.” It calls for “negotiating a permanent ceasefire and related security arrangements, including steps for troop withdrawals and redeployments where necessary, prioritizing North Darfur and North Kordofan states in the first

phase, in accordance with the UN mechanism, alongside implementing disarmament, demobilization, and reintegration programs, assembling forces in camps, and other related measures.” The document also stipulates “maintaining a unified national army accountable to an independent, elected civilian government, and deploying international observers based on the UN mechanism.”

6- The truce included in the American proposal, under the pretext of delivering humanitarian aid, when conducted with separatist rebel group like the Rapid Support Forces (RSF), it recognizes their entity and accepts what they do. Similarly, when the document speaks of "security arrangements, including steps for withdrawal and redeployment of forces," it confirms the continued presence of the RSF and avoids any mention of dismantling or ending their existence. In fact, it implicitly calls for their continued presence when it calls for their redeployment. This equates the national army with those seeking to dismantle it, such as the RSF. The document also calls for "limited military withdrawals" under the pretext of "facilitating the delivery of humanitarian aid, protecting civilians, and creating conditions for the return of displaced persons," which confirms the preservation of these forces without their dissolution. In this paper, it affirms the legitimacy of the existence and dominance of the Rapid Support Forces when it calls for “a comprehensive and immediate nationwide humanitarian truce for 90 days” and when it calls for “using the truce period to negotiate a permanent ceasefire and to begin a transition process led by a civilian authority.” It does not refer to the RSF as separatist and rebel forces, but rather acknowledges their separatist government to divide Sudan.

It should be noted that the latest American proposal is not a new initiative but rather a revival of the proposal previously advanced by the Quartet—comprising the United States, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, and the United Arab Emirates—on 12 September 2025. The proposal calls for a three-month humanitarian truce as a pathway toward a permanent ceasefire.

7-The Sudanese response to the latest US proposal, issued on 20/6/2026, was delivered on 25/7/2026. Details of the response were published later. Al Jazeera network on 10/7/2026, citing official Sudanese sources reported the response of the government to the latest American proposal. These sources said that the response: “reaffirmed the government's commitment to ending the war through negotiations and establishing a 90-day humanitarian truce, contingent upon the Rapid Support Forces (RSF) withdrawing from all cities they have controlled since 11/5/2023” which is the date of the signing of the Jeddah Declaration by the two parties under US-Saudi sponsorship. The response also included: “guarantees for Sudan's unity and territorial integrity, the preservation of the Sudanese Armed Forces as a unified national army, the integration of all military formations within it, an end to foreign interference in Sudanese affairs, and a halt to the provision of weapons and mercenaries to the RSF.” The second part of the Sudanese government's response included; the withdrawal of the Rapid Support Forces from cities and their regrouping in designated locations in three phases: the first phase, to be implemented within 30 days; includes withdrawal from the Blue Nile region, North Kordofan state, and North, Central West Darfur. The second phase will begin 30 days after the completion of the first.

This involves the RSF withdrawing from cities in West Kordofan and South Darfur states. The third phase, to begin 30 days after the second, it includes withdrawal from East and South Kordofan states. The assembly point was designated as Kauda, the stronghold of the Sudan People's Liberation Movement-North (SPLM-N) led by Abdelaziz al-Hilu, which is allied with the RSF. The third part of the response: "included details of the political process through a comprehensive Sudanese-Sudanese dialogue leading to a civilian transitional government that would remain in power until free and fair elections were held." The Sudanese government's demand for the RSF to withdraw from the cities it seized and then redeploy to specific locations effectively acknowledges its existence and prevents its dismantling and elimination. It should be noted that the RSF will not easily comply with the government's demands for withdrawal or negotiations; otherwise, it would not have rebelled, committed atrocities, perpetrated the crimes it committed, and caused the displacement of millions. The only way to resolve the matter is to decisively eliminate the RSF through military force.

8- Upon examining this plan, announced by Massad Boulos, Trump's senior advisor on Arab and African affairs, on June 20th in Cairo, it becomes clear that these ceasefire plans, and those preceding them, actually serve the US plan to separate Darfur from Sudan. In other words, the plans presented by the US so far aim at two things: first, to portray the RSF as a parallel political entity with an administrative structure and a political program, capable of participating in the negotiations' table; and second, is the United State aims to completely block the Sudanese army's recapture of El Fasher and consolidate Hemedti's control over it through these ceasefire agreements. Therefore, it is essential to view the ceasefire proposals put

forward by the US, whether through Massad Boulos personally or through the Quartet, from this perspective: i.e., the gradual preparation for completing the separation of Darfur and linking the issue of negotiations to other conflict zones. Just as the US took its time in separating South Sudan, it is doing the same here! As for the humanitarian crisis in Sudan mentioned in the proposal, it is of no concern to the US or Trump!

9- To consolidate its control over Darfur, the Rapid Support Forces escalated their attacks beyond Darfur, specifically in the three Kordofan states that separate eastern Sudan, including the capital Khartoum, from the five Darfur states now under RSF control. There, warplanes launched airstrikes against RSF positions in several cities and villages to force them to abandon their offensive on El Obeid, the capital of North Kordofan state. It appears that the RSF's attacks in Kordofan and its attempt to seize El Obeid are aimed at removing the conflict from areas under its control in the Darfur and divert attention away from them causing people to forget about them. The RSF is fighting in other areas, not its primary objective. A similar situation occurred in Blue Nile State on the Ethiopian border, where the Sudanese army announced on 10/7/2026 on its X platform its control over the areas of Deim Saad and Yara in Blue Nile State, in the southeast of the country, after foiling an attack launched by the RSF and the Sudan People's Liberation.

Movement-North (SPLM-N). This followed its recapture of the strategic city of Kurmuk in Blue Nile State. Thus, it appears that fighting will continue in other areas to solidify the RSF control over all of Darfur, and that Darfur is not subject to negotiation for its return to the government, but rather negotiations will focus on the other areas where fighting is taking place! For this reason,

the city of El Obeid has been witnessing violent attacks for weeks. The United Nations warned last May of escalating attacks in the Kordofan region, which claimed the lives of at least 880 civilians between January and April 2026. The Deputy Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court, Nazhat Shameem Khan, warned during a briefing to the UN Security Council on 16/7/2026, that: The most serious international crimes may be about to occur in El Obeid, the capital of North Kordofan State in southern Sudan. "It is for this Council and all States, to act now, to prevent further atrocities, to take responsibility and ensure that those likely to suffer yet again from what is unfolding are given protection," she said..." (Anadolu Agency, 16/7/2026). While the duty is to preserve the unity of Sudan and defend every part of it. However, focusing on the Kordofan states while neglecting the Darfur states and failing to demand that the army liberate them from the separatist RSF is tantamount to accepting the separation of Darfur and remaining silent about it, while focusing on other states! Therefore, the fighting is escalating in those areas: "Battles between the Sudanese army and the RSF militia have recently reached their peak in North Kordofan State in south-central Sudan..." (Al-Araby Al-Jadeed, 28/7/2026). Thus, battles continue here and there outside the Darfur states, and the army continues fighting in those areas until the time comes to sign an agreement, at which point negotiations will focus on the withdrawal of these forces from areas outside Darfur, and Darfur will remain separated!

10- In conclusion, we reiterate to you, our people in Sudan, our appeal which we have made to you more than once, sincerely and devotedly to Allah (swt) and His Messenger (saw), asking Allah that this appeal may be well heard and answered, and Allah is the Guardian of the righteous:

O our People in the Sudan of the great Islam... The Sudan of Dongola Mosque, the first mosque built by the first Muslims in Sudan... The Sudan of the great Islamic conquest during the era of Caliph Othman, may Allah be pleased with him, where he ordered the governor of Egypt to bring the light of Islam to Sudan, so he sent the soldiers of Islam led by Abdullah Ibn Abi Al-Sarh, and the conquest took place in the year 31 AH. Thus Islam spread rapidly, with Allah's favour, until it filled all of Sudan: from its north to its south and from its east to its west... Then it continued during the era of the Muslim caliphs... O our people in Sudan, the fighting (Mujahid) Sudan against the British since the year 1896 until the middle of World War I, in 1916, when the strong, pious hero, Ali bin Dinar, the governor of Darfur, was martyred, that scholar and Mujahid who was credited with repairing the meeqat of Medinah and the people of Ash-Sham, Dhul-Hulaifa, and constructing wells of water for the pilgrims, which are called after him to this day, Abyar Ali.

O People of Sudan: we call on you to remedy the matter before regret becomes of no use. and stop this fighting between the conflicting parties, and give support to Hizb ut Tahrir to establish the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly Guided Caliphate), in it is the honour for Islam and the Muslims and the humiliation of the kufr and the kuffar (disbelief and disbelievers); and gaining of Allah's pleasure is greater.

﴿إِنَّ فِي ذَلِكَ لَذِكْرَىٰ لِمَن كَانَ لَهُ قَلْبٌ أَوْ أَلْقَى السَّمْعَ وَهُوَ شَهِيدٌ﴾

“Indeed in that is a reminder for whoever has a heart or who listens while he is present [in mind]” [Qaf: 37]

Thus, Sudan returns strong and powerful through Islam, with the banner of Al U'qab flying high, the banner of “There is no god but Allah, Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah”:

﴿وَيَوْمَئِذٍ يَفْرَحُ الْمُؤْمِنُونَ * بِنَصْرِ اللَّهِ يَنْصُرُ مَنْ يَشَاءُ وَهُوَ الْعَزِيزُ الرَّحِيمُ﴾

“And on that day the believers will rejoice * at the victory willed by Allah. He gives victory to whoever He wills. For He is the Almighty, Most Merciful” [Ar-Rum: 4-5]

15 Safar 1448 AH

29/7/2026 CE

What Hizb ut Tahrir Warned About Nine Years Ago Became a Reality

Recent images captured by the European satellite Sentinel-2 show a significant drop in the Nile's water level over the course of a single month, leading the major water stations to go out of service. A report published in Asharq Al-Awsat Newspaper on 22/7/2026, stated: "The receding of the Nile's water this summer was not merely a seasonal change in river levels, but rather a crisis affecting the lives of hundreds of thousands of Sudanese. Drinking water stations were disrupted, and supplies dwindled in several areas, amid fears that the repercussions could extend to the agricultural season and food security."

In response, the Official Spokesperson for Hizb ut-Tahrir in Wilayah Sudan, Ustadh Ibrahim Othman (Abu Khalil), stated in a press release, "This was expected, and we in Hizb ut Tahrir / Wilayah of Sudan warned against it. We explained the danger the Ethiopian Renaissance Dam (An-Nahda Dam) poses to the water security of both Sudan and Egypt, and how the rulers of Egypt and Sudan have relinquished the rights of the Ummah when they agreed to the construction of this disastrous dam by signing the Declaration of Principles Agreement in Khartoum on 23/3/2015."

Ustadh Abu Khalil added, "To oppose this dam, we held dozens of seminars and forums, in which we hosted sincere water experts who explained the danger of this dam to the water security of Sudan and Egypt. In contrast to what the party did in clarifying the facts about the dam, the position of politicians and most media figures was supportive of the establishment of the dam and aligned with the position of the government, which was complicit in allowing the establishment of the dam. In July 2014,

a huge media delegation that included 32 journalists visited the Renaissance Dam, which was arranged by the Sudanese Ministries of Information and Irrigation with the aim of promoting the dam and being false witnesses to the crime that would be committed against the people of Sudan. This visit had an effective impact in conditioning people to accept the establishment of the dam when they listed its benefits falsely and slanderously.”

Abu Khalil continued, “Furthermore, the media in general adopted a negative stance, even when Hizb ut Tahrir presented compelling evidence of the dam’s dangers. In September 2017, we published a booklet titled “An-Nahdha (Grand Renaissance) Dam and the Threats of Water, Negligence of the Rulers and the Duty of the Ummah,” in 40-pages of medium size. In it, we emphasized that the signing of the so-called Declaration of Principles between Ethiopia, Egypt, and Sudan in Khartoum on 23/5/2015, by Sisi, the ruler of Egypt, Omar al-Bashir, the ruler of Sudan, and Desalegn, the Prime Minister of Ethiopia, was forsaking of the Ummah's rights and a disgrace and shame perpetrated by the governments of Sudan and Egypt. We also explained the dangers of the Grand Renaissance Dam to Sudan and Egypt, the anticipated risks, and the resulting damages. The booklet then discussed the Islamic legal principles for dealing with rivers, and concluded by outlining three violations of Islamic law committed by the rulers of Sudan and Egypt in colluding to allow the construction of the Grand Renaissance Dam.”

The concluding remarks stated, “The history of the Khilafah is rich in lessons on its integrity and its preservation of the interests of the Muslims, by the strength of its political work, the elevation of its negotiators and diplomats, their pride and their forbearance, and their waving and actual use of military force. It is sought by

millions of men who aspire to die for Allah. It is the state of dignity and power, that every Muslim must work with the workers, to establish it, for a good life in obedience to Allah ﷻ, that the inhabitants of the heaven and earth are satisfied with” For such a goal, let the workers strive.

Isn't It Time for Al-Aqsa Mosque To Be Purified?!

The storming of Al-Aqsa Mosque by extremist Jews is no longer a new event, but rather a constant occurrence. The prayers, dancing, singing, and desecration at the mosque by these accursed individuals (by Allah) have become almost daily occurrences. The timing of these incursions, coinciding with the anniversary of what they call the destruction of the Temple, serves as an annual warning that Al-Aqsa Mosque is targeted for demolition, Judaization, and the construction of their alleged temple on its ruins.

Conversely, statements of condemnation, describing the crime as a dangerous escalation, revealing the plan for temporal and spatial division and the violation of the historical and legal status, and holding the criminal fully responsible, are no longer new news that we hear from the Oslo Authority, or the guardian, or the Arab League, or the existing regimes in the Islamic countries. Muslims have become accustomed to their rhetoric and then saw them being ground in the mill of the Jews, whom they appease, support, make peace with, even protect, assist, recognize, and fight the people of Palestine and those who support them and those who think of purifying the Sacred House from the filth of the usurpers.

Accordingly, a press release issued by the Media Office of Hizb ut-Tahrir in the Blessed Land of Palestine stated: All the aforementioned crimes committed by the criminal Jewish entity against Al-Aqsa Mosque and its surroundings, and the crimes of the regimes surrounding the Sacred Land, are not surprising. This entity is a hateful and spiteful enemy of Islam since the time of

the Prophet Muhammad ﷺ, and these regimes have been hostile to the Deen of Islam and its people from the very first day they ascended to power.

But what is truly astonishing is that Al-Aqsa Mosque is being desecrated and the Ummah of Muhammad ﷺ does not rise up in defense of its Deen!!

It is truly strange for the Ummah of Jihad to witness the desecration of the place where the prophets and messengers gathered for the final Messenger, Muhammad ﷺ, to lead them in prayer, and yet they do not saddle their horses, nor prepare for fighting, nor strike with their swords to restore the place to the purity befitting its status!

It is truly strange for the Ummah of Muhammad ﷺ, who say prayers upon him morning and evening, night and day, to allow his Masra (site of the night journey) to be desecrated as if it were a mere daily occurrence, without moving and mobilizing its armies!

It is strange for those who sing the praises of the conqueror Umar (may Allah be pleased with him) and the liberator Salahuddin, and are from among the Ummahs soldiers and officers, but do not rally like them, nor mobilize like them, nor charge like them, declaring, “Labaik (Here I am), O Masra of the Messenger of Allah ﷺ!”

The press statement concluded by noting: The Ummah and its soldiers have experienced setbacks, but they have always been followed by rounds of victories, as the Crusaders and the Mongols witnessed before. Isn't it time for the rounds of victory of the blessed land to start? Isn't it time for Al-Aqsa Mosque to be cleansed of their defilement, to dry its tears and find solace in

the takbirs of the liberators and the tahlils of the conquering worshippers?

Netanyahu's Visit to the US: Reasons and Outcomes

By: Asaad Mansour

Netanyahu, the Prime Minister of the Jewish entity, visited the United States on July 27, 2026, to attend the funeral of US Senator Lindsey Graham—a staunch supporter of the Jewish entity and its crimes. The following day, he met with President Trump—their eighth meeting since Trump assumed the US presidency in early 2025—reflecting the close, sinister ties binding them.

Netanyahu secured support from Trump and the Republican Party—the rival to the Democratic Party—though both parties compete in offering boundless support to the Jewish entity. This is because it serves as America's forward base in the heart of the Islamic lands and as its rabid dog, guarding its interests in the region.

Indeed, the former Democratic President, Biden, visited the Jewish entity on October 18, 2023, to support its aggression against Gaza, declaring, "If there were not an Israel, we'd have to invent one."

The leaders of the Jewish entity are well aware of America's objectives; however, they seek to exploit US support to strengthen and expand their entity, aiming to dominate the region, establish "Greater Israel," and prevent the re-establishment of the Khilafah (Caliphate)—the existence of which would spell the end of their entity. Their ultimate goal is to eventually dispense with American support and achieve full

independence—a sentiment Netanyahu has expressed in numerous statements on various occasions.

Netanyahu attempted to capitalize on Trump's trust and their personal relationship, seeking to project an image of interfering in US policy or influencing the President. However, Trump once reprimanded him for his stance against Turkey and its President, Erdogan, regarding the Syria issue, telling him, "I like him (President Erdogan) a lot. ...he's a hell of a leader and a strong person... I said, 'Congratulations, you've done what nobody's been able to do in 2,000 years. You've taken over Syria.'" He reprimanded him again when a request was made not to sell F-35 jets to Turkey, telling him, "Nobody can tell me what to do." He publicly rebuked him during a press conference with the Emir of Qatar in France on June 16, 2026, stating that Netanyahu "has to be more responsible with respect to Lebanon." "[I] didn't like where two hours before we're signing the agreement that there was an attack in Lebanon, in Beirut," Mr. Trump said. He also described the attack as "vicious," saying it was "too much." He also said, "Without us, without the United States, there would be no Israel. Without me, there would be no Israel, because no other president was willing to do what I did." On June 1, 2026, the US website Axios quoted two American sources as saying that Trump told Netanyahu, "You're fucking crazy. You'd be in prison if it weren't for me. I'm saving your ass. Everybody hates you now. Everybody hates Israel because of this."

It is evident from this that Trump is furious with Netanyahu, as he cannot tolerate anyone upstaging him or talking back to him. He always positions himself above others—dictating terms rather than accepting them—and is prepared to destroy anyone who defies him, even his own close associates.

Jewish and American sources report that Netanyahu had been persistently seeking a meeting with Trump for two months, but Trump refused—until the opportunity arose following the demise of Graham, that backer of criminality. On July 31, 2026, the Hebrew newspaper Maariv reported that Netanyahu’s visit to the United States lacked the usual displays of warmth; no one greeted him at the airport, no red carpet was rolled out, and cameras, journalists, press conferences, and photo opportunities were all absent. He entered the White House like a thief in the night and exited through the back. There were no private meetings; instead, other officials—including Netanyahu’s critics—were present. He was subjected to an indignity in Washington unprecedented even during his darkest days under the Obama administration. Coordination between the two sides had declined amidst disputes regarding Gaza, Lebanon, and Iran. Trump had opportunities to portray Netanyahu as weak, and he did not miss a single one.

All of this suffices to gauge the contemptible stature of Netanyahu—and the lowly status of his entity—in the eyes of the United States, as well as the negligible influence they wield over its rulers. America recognizes only its own interests and hegemony; neither Jews nor non-Jews exert influence unless it aligns with its colonialist objectives. It is governed neither by Jews nor by others, but rather by capitalists who install a figurehead as president—having the public elect him to create the illusion of a popular mandate—thereby masking the true rulers of America and deceiving the people into believing it is a free, democratic nation.

While simple-minded Muslims were once misled into believing that Jews dominated American decision-making, Trump exposed

the reality: Jews are insignificant, serving merely as a tool for America's savage colonialist policies. It is worth noting that before implementing this policy in Muslims lands, the United States applied it against its own indigenous populations—killing millions and confiscating their lands—as well as against the peoples of South America, with Venezuela and Panama serving as prime examples, and by occupying 55% of Mexico. It also employed these tactics in Korea and Vietnam—all instances where there was no talk of Jews or their influence.

Although Netanyahu travels to the U.S. clamoring for war against Iran—openly opposing any agreement, seeking regime change, and desiring a replacement government that poses no threat, holds no military superiority, and is willing to normalize relations—and while he actively encourages and liaises with the opposition, even inviting the Shah's son to visit in 2023 to coordinate with security officials against the Iranian regime, with the aim of installing him in power and restoring the ties that existed under his father, Netanyahu remains a figure of little consequence.

It appears that Netanyahu's trip this time had a different objective: attempting to mend fences with Trump and regain his support ahead of the Knesset elections scheduled for October. His domestic standing had been shaken following Trump's rebuke and the withdrawal of his previous backing. However, the true, hideous nature of the Jewish entity has been exposed to many Americans due to the brutal massacres committed in Gaza, as well as policies of starvation and the killing of children, women, the sick, and prisoners. Indeed, there is a growing perception that a war with Iran would not be America's war, but instead that of the Jewish entity—and that it was Netanyahu who pushed Trump

toward it. A poll conducted during his recent visit to the United States revealed that 49% of Americans—including 23% of Trump voters and 74% of Democrats—support his arrest and prosecution, accusing the Jewish entity of committing genocide in Gaza. This figure marks a historic first for the United States.

On July 29, 2026, the UN human rights spokesperson called on nations to take action to protect the people of Palestine in the West Bank; she had previously called for military action against the Jewish entity, noting that the UN Charter grants the authority to intervene to halt the genocide in Gaza. Yet, rulers of Muslims lack even a shred of honor; instead, they have fought against those advocating for military action and maintained their ties with the Jewish entity. They are no different from Netanyahu and are complicit in his crimes, Netanyahu and his entity would never have dared to take the actions they did had the states of the region taken military action. Their rulers are in the worst of stances and have strayed furthest from the right path. There is no salvation except by ridding ourselves of them and their regimes, and bringing in sincere leaders who will enforce the Shariah ruling of Allah ﷻ upon the Jews.

The NATO Conference in Ankara and Türkiye's Role in It

By: Ustadh Ahmed Al-Khatwani

The 36th NATO Summit was held in Ankara, Türkiye, on July 7 and 8, 2026. The summit's final communiqué included several commitments to strengthen the alliance's defense capabilities, including raising member states' defense spending to 5% of their combined budgets. The communiqué also called on Iran to refrain from acquiring nuclear weapons and to respect freedom of navigation in the Strait of Hormuz.

Türkiye demonstrated its commitment to the alliance at the summit. Burhanettin Duran, the head of the Turkish Presidency's Communications Directorate, stated that ““The intensive diplomatic engagements carried out since Monday as part of the NATO Ankara Summit have once again demonstrated Türkiye's active role, under the leadership of our President Recep Tayyip Erdogan, in strengthening international peace, security and stability.” He added that President Erdogan and the US President discussed developing bilateral relations, enhancing cooperation in defense industries, trade, security, and the European security architecture, as well as regional and international developments.

Trump reconsidered exempting Türkiye from sanctions imposed under the Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (CAATSA) and reinstating it in the F-35 fighter jet program if it dismantled the Russian S-400 air defense system.

Erdogan accorded Trump a special welcome, marked by warm displays of friendship and celebration, unlike his reception of other visitors.

Türkiye announced it would increase its defense spending to 5% of its GDP by the end of 2030. It already possesses the second-largest army in NATO after the United States. NATO Secretary General Rutte stated, "Türkiye, with over 3,000 companies, is extremely important."

According to the BBC, "Türkiye plays a pivotal role in NATO's operational architecture through:

1. Incirlik, Konya, and Erköle Air Bases
2. Radar facilities in Kürecik and Kısık
3. NATO Land Forces Command in İzmir
4. Combined Air Operations Centers deployed in several provinces
5. Aksaz Naval Base
6. Taşucu and İskenderun ports, which are used for military purposes when necessary. During the conflict between the US and "Israel" over Iran this year, NATO defense systems intercepted missiles launched from Iran toward Türkiye, highlighting the operational importance of this infrastructure and Türkiye's position within the alliance's security framework.

Türkiye will increase its defense budget contribution to NATO from \$20 billion this year to \$70 billion in the coming years, making it one of the alliance's largest contributors.

Türkiye's involvement in NATO and its substantial contributions raise serious questions about its Islamic identity and true stance. Does Türkiye offer the same level of support to Muslim countries as it does to NATO?

What has Türkiye, as a guarantor of the ceasefire, offered to Gaza and its people, who continue to be subjected to blatant and systematic genocide?

The official Turkish media shows, theoretically, that Türkiye is defending the causes of Muslims in Gaza, whose people are being exterminated, and rejects the Jewish occupation of Arab lands in Syria and Lebanon, and supports the Muslim Uyghurs of Türkiye, whose identity has been erased, and stands with all the Muslim countries that are suffering successive calamities. This is only on the surface, but in reality, Türkiye's standing with the Muslims is nothing but an illusion and a lie. In reality, it stands with those to whom it provides all the money and weapons it has to defend them in the NATO alliance, which is a real enemy of Islam and Muslims.

The major powers of the alliance, such as America, Britain, and France, are criminal colonialist powers that invaded all Muslim lands, including Türkiye, and brought down the Khilafah, tore our lands apart, displaced our people, planted the cancerous entity in our heart, plundered our resources, and inflicted untold suffering upon us, and continue to do so. So why does Türkiye support this accursed, satanic alliance?

Instead of standing with the Muslim World and uniting with it on its crucial issues, Türkiye turns its back on them and stands as a loyal guardian, defending the eastern gate of the criminal, colonialist European-American West.

Furthermore, NATO should politically dissolve because it was established to confront the Soviet Union and communism in the 20th century. With the collapse of the communist system, its role should have ended, and there should be no need for its continued existence. However, it invented a new enemy for itself:

Islam. Retired General Wesley Clark's 2007 revelation that a post-9/11 Pentagon memo outlined plans to "take out seven countries in five years" has proven disturbingly predictive.

So why does Erdoğan's Türkiye stand with this alliance that is hostile to Islam and at war with Muslims?

What is the value of encouraging the hijab and the recitation of the Quran in masajid in Türkiye on the one hand, while on the other hand, we ally ourselves with the most bitter enemies of Islam on fundamental issues such as alliances, defense, and security?

What kind of logic is this? What kind of Islam is this? One must know who one is allying with and who one is opposing!

Turkish politicians today have a duty to review the Turkish state and hold it accountable for these sordid alliances with the most vicious enemies of Islam. Every conscious Muslim in Türkiye must ask themselves about this dangerous strategic error that the Turkish state has caused for over seventy years, and they must explore how to rectify it and correct its course.

The Turkish leadership's insistence on continuing to defend America, Britain, France, and Europe, the backbone of the alliance, means that it will stand against any Muslim country that clashes with any of these countries, or even with any Western country. This effectively means that Türkiye has sided with the enemies of the Muslim World in any future conflict.

If an Islamic state were to emerge, for example, in Ash-Sham, Iraq, Egypt, or the Arabian Peninsula, Türkiye would be its primary enemy, defending the West, America, and Europe as a key member of the alliance.

Türkiye must change its course immediately and align itself with the true causes of this Ummah. Its leadership must stop misleading and deceiving Muslims by claiming that Türkiye is the political center of the Muslim World, when in reality it is Europe's impenetrable shield against any impending Islamic surge!

Contemporary Political Idolatry: How Do the Guardians of Idols Protect the Idol of the Democratic Republican System?

By: Ustadh Saif Marzouq – Wilayah Yemen

Major General Sultan Al-Arada—a member of the so-called Presidential Leadership Council and Governor of Marib—issued a directive to establish Republic Square and relocate the tank within the monument of Mared al-Thawra into the new square. He asserted that the political objectives behind this move transcend mere symbolism, aiming instead to restore the democratic republican system, extend its sovereignty, and raise its banner across the entire country. In his directive, Al-Arada claimed that the simple understanding of the general public might not grasp the historical dimensions and significance of this step, while stressing to the relevant engineering and executive bodies the necessity of completing the square within a short timeframe.

Even a superficial political analysis reveals the betrayal these rulers commit against their Deen; one can only imagine the conclusion reached by those who delve deeper and are enlightened by true insight: the imperative to sweep away the agent rulers in Muslim lands, for they serve as guardians of the ideas and systems of disbelief in our countries. This distortion of the rulers' true role compels us to expose the proponents of this nationalistic framework—a system that insults the intellect, treats blood as cheap, and corrupts the purity of sentiment, turning people's emotions into a veil that shields the pillars of these oppressive, coercive regimes. The retreat into the sanctification

of rusty iron—exemplified by the inauguration of Republic Square, a monument intended to imbue the location with a pagan identity that enshrines the idol of democracy in the heart of Marib—represents the pinnacle of the political paganism to which man-made regimes resort when the flaws of their guardianship are exposed. In their impotence, they attempt to mask the shame of excluding the Shariah Law of Allah ﷻ with the clamor of public squares and hide behind the creation of hollow, material idols. Yet, due to their failure, these squares soon transform into monuments that bear witness to the bankruptcy of their ideology and the collapse of their illegitimate projects; every edifice they erected becomes an indictment against them rather than a testament in their favor, awaiting the flood of true awareness—an awareness championed by those who view the entire world through the lens of Islamic creed, and which, by the Permission of Allah ﷻ, will leave no trace of their falsehoods behind.

The Republic in Yemen—and indeed all the entities of harm established across Muslim lands—has never been an expression of the Ummah’s authority derived from its Iman. Instead, these are constitutional idols drafted by the ink of the disbelieving colonialist and guarded by the fires of manufactured conflicts that feed upon the lives of our youth, all while being manipulated by the strings of international subservience. The republican system is tyranny cloaked in the guise of institutions. It serves as the intellectual and political ceiling for subservience, having replaced Shariah rulings with imported man-made laws, and substituted Shura (Shariah consultation) with parliaments that legitimize the charters of Taghut (tyrannical authority).

The true tragedy lies not in the outward appearance of political forces vying for power or their mutual disagreements, but in their underlying consensus to sideline the Shariah of Allah ﷻ from ruling governance and their collective prostration before constitutional idols—idols that are outwardly embellished yet inwardly malignant. The conflicting parties—acting as local tools beholden to others—rush to submit to the leaders of international disbelief who legitimized this Taghut (tyrannical authority) and drafted its laws. They remain heedless of the decisive divine verdict, **﴿إِنَّ الْحُكْمَ إِلَّا لِلَّهِ أَمَرَ أَلَّا تَعْبُدُوا إِلَّا إِيَّاهُ﴾** **“Legislation is for none but Allah; He has commanded that you worship none but Him,”** [TMQ Surah Yusuf: 40] and **﴿أَفَحُكْمَ الْجَاهِلِيَّةِ يَبْغُونَ وَمَنْ أَحْسَنُ مِنَ اللَّهِ حُكْمًا لِّقَوْمٍ يُوقِنُونَ﴾** **“Do they then seek the judgment of the time of ignorance? And who is better than Allah in judgment for a people who are certain in Iman?”** [TMQ Surah Al-Maidah: 50]. This functional distribution of colonialist roles is evident across the lived reality of three Yemeni cities, where the disbelieving West manipulates the people of our Ummah through contradictions.

In Marib—the stronghold of the so-called recognized government—blood is shed and idolatrous monuments are erected to uphold the mirage of a republic. Its legitimacy was crafted to curry favor with disbelievers within the corridors of the international order, rather than to seek Allah’s pleasure and implement His radiant Shariah Law.

In Sana’a, sincere youth are driven—under misleading, emotionally charged slogans—to entrench that very democratic republican system and its constitutional symbols. Meanwhile, its politicians rush to offer friendly overtures to the United Nations,

coveting a seal of international recognition as loyal guardians of the borders drawn by the disbelieving colonial power.

As for Aden, a project is underway to further fragment the already divided land through a separatist republican distortion; this aims to facilitate the plundering of resources and to tighten the global Taghut's grip on the Ummah's strategic straits and waterways. By Allah, it is a tragic farce played out with our blood—a crude mockery of our Ummah's intellect. In it, the modern-day Pharaohs vie for the executioner's whip, competing to stand guard over the idol of the system of republican democracy crafted by our enemy. Yet, the threads of their machinations converge on a single goal: excluding Islam from ruling governance and public life, keeping the Ummah lost in the wilderness of internal strife, and barring it from the path of liberation and true advancement. Unless we all rally to bring about radical, comprehensive change—transforming both souls and reality based on our creed, and uniting around our Ummah's vital cause (the Rightly-Guided Caliphate, governed by a constitution derived from the Revelation of the Lord of the Worlds)—we shall reap nothing from servitude to these modern idols but a wretched existence and worldly disgrace. This confirms the Almighty's words, ﴿وَمَنْ أَعْرَضَ عَنْ ذِكْرِي فَإِنَّ لَهُ مَعِيشَةً ضَنْكًا وَنَحْشُرُهُ يَوْمَ الْقِيَامَةِ أَعْمَى﴾ **“But whoever turns away from My Reminder—indeed, he will have a depressed [difficult] life, and We will gather him on the Day of Resurrection blind.”** [TMQ Surah Taha: 120].

O our people and loved ones—you who rush to sacrifice your lives on the battlefields of Yemen: know that your immense sacrifices are inevitably being sold cheaply in the markets of international bargaining. Your leaders—those incompetent,

Ruwaibadah figures—serve as the loyal custodians of this tyrannical, disbelieving system that steals the fruits of your struggle. There is no honor or dignity for our Ummah except through tireless, principled action alongside the conscious, sincere vanguard that has taken upon itself the task of dismantling these constitutional idols from their very foundations. The true arena worthy of the sacrifice of lives and wealth is not that of nationalistic idols or rusting iron; instead, it is the arena of establishing the Khilafah Rashidah (Rightly-Guided Caliphate) on the Method of the Prophethood—a state that rules by what Allah has revealed, vesting authority in the Ummah while reserving sovereignty solely for the Shariah Law of Allah.

The choice before you today is existential: either to accept the usurpation of minds and subservience to the conflicts of Jahiliyyah (ignorance) and to materialist, constitutional idols of republican democracy, or to rise up with the wisdom and might of Islam in response to the Dawah to life and divine ruling governance, ﴿يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا اسْتَجِيبُوا لِلَّهِ وَلِلرَّسُولِ إِذَا دَعَاكُمْ لِمَا يُحْيِيكُمْ﴾ **“O you who have believed, respond to Allah and to the Messenger when he calls you to that which gives you life”** [TMQ Surah Al-Anfal: 24]—thereby attaining, through your sincere sacrifice and support for your Deen, the honor of the promised empowerment, in fulfillment of His words Glory be to Him, and exalted is His majesty, ﴿وَلَيَنْصُرَنَّ اللَّهُ مَن يَنْصُرُهُ إِنَّ اللَّهَ لَقَوِيٌّ عَزِيزٌ﴾ **“And Allah will surely aid those who aid Him; indeed, Allah is Powerful and Exalted in Might.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Hajj: 40].

Peace with the Jews is Haram (حرام Forbidden) in Shariah and Dangerous Politically

By: Ustadh Abdo Al-Dalli*

“We avoid a clash with ‘Israel’—as it serves no interest of ours—and are working toward a security agreement with ‘Israel’ involving a group of nations. Should this security agreement succeed, it would pave the way for a comprehensive peace, without relinquishing Syria's rightful claim to the occupied Golan.”

With these words, Ahmad Al-Sharaa—Syria’s leader for the transitional period—began discussing the future nature of the conflict with the Jewish entity.

Key points within the political context of the statement:

Avoiding military escalation: Al-Sharaa emphasized that Damascus sees no benefit in engaging in any current military clash or confrontation with the Jewish entity, preferring instead to address border issues and tensions through political and diplomatic channels.

International sponsorship and support: He explained that Syria seeks to involve influential regional and international powers in these arrangements to ensure a balanced and sustainable security agreement.

Adherence to nationalistic principles: He stressed that the proposed security understandings—if successful—could serve as a gateway to a comprehensive peace process, yet without compromising Syrian sovereignty or infringing upon Syria’s

inalienable right to the occupied Golan Heights, in accordance with international legitimacy resolutions.

The slide toward compromise under the banner of nationalism carries implications obvious to any rational person; responsibility for this is upon everyone—without exception—capable of speaking out against it.

Repeated concessions and the rush toward rapprochement and normalization have occurred frequently—time and again—driven by reasons that defy comprehension and motives devoid of any Shariah sanction. To begin with, engaging in the projects of the narrow nation-state—with its confined nationalistic borders—was one of the initial steps that paved the way for accepting the sale of sanctities and rushing toward reconciliation with the Jews. This fragmenting nationalist ideology is the primary driver behind stripping the Ummah of its sources of strength, thereby clearing the path for the abandonment of fundamental principles and for normalization with murderous occupiers.

It is no longer a secret that the Ummah's current plight stems from a lack of awareness regarding the conspiracies hatched by our enemies, as well as the absence of an aware political leadership capable of preventing the squandering of sanctities and shielding the Ummah from subservience and capitulation.

The Ummah possesses all the requisites of power—including vast resources and capabilities—and exists to defend its sanctities, not to squander them or engage in appeasement. It is evident today that this power requires a political leadership to chart its course and define the correct objective for achieving its ultimate goal. Yet, no matter how abundant the resources may be, they are of no avail if those controlling and directing them do so to serve the Ummah's enemies—driven by their own inner weakness and a

willingness to sacrifice everything merely to cling to a precarious seat of power destined to vanish before long.

Nor must we forget that the history of the Jews is replete with treachery and the violation of covenants and treaties. A reading of history, informed by awareness and insight, confirms an indisputable fact: the defining characteristic of the Jews' history—both with Muslims and, prior to that, with the Prophets of Allah—is treachery and betrayal. Even during the first Islamic state established by the Messenger of Allah ﷺ—when Al-Madinah welcomed them and the Prophet ﷺ concluded the Charter of Al-Madinah, guaranteeing their rights and safety—their innate tendency to break covenants soon prevailed. From the treachery of Banu Qaynuqa and their violation of the sanctity of Muslims, to the plot by Banu Nadir to assassinate the Prophet ﷺ, and culminating in the grave betrayal by Banu Qurayza during the Battle of the Trench—when they sided with the mushrikeen against the Muslims—treachery has always been their hallmark. Nor did it stop there; history records their treachery against the Prophets and Messengers of Allah (as)—through killing, denial, and the distortion of words from their proper contexts, just as the Creator—Glory be to Him—described them.

Therefore, the conflict with them is inevitable; it is an existential struggle, not merely a dispute over borders. The history we have recounted is clearly reflected today on the Blessed Land, particularly in the Gaza Strip.

The entity of those who have incurred Allah's wrath, the Jews, proves daily that it respects neither ties of kinship nor covenants regarding believers. The blood spilled every day, the dismembered bodies of women and children, the forced displacement, and the systematic destruction of all the essentials

of life—all serve as living testimonies to the brutal nature of this usurping entity. Events in Gaza have demonstrated the criminal nature of this entity, just as Operation Al-Aqsa Flood proved that it is possible to uproot this occupying Jewish entity from our land.

Faced with these facts, how can any rational person pin their hopes on “security agreements” or “comprehensive peace” with an entity founded upon the shedding of blood?

Betting on reconciliation with the occupier is a gamble on a mirage; it represents a denial of—and a departure from—fundamental Shariah rulings. The Shariah and political dangers inherent in the rush toward normalization are immense. Calling for peace or a truce with these people—under the pretext of political realism or the desire to avoid confrontation—poses a grave danger and constitutes an evil on all levels:

1. The Shariah Danger: It is impermissible to surrender Muslim lands and sanctities or to legitimize the occupiers’ presence on even a single inch of them. Occupied land does not become the property of the occupier simply through the passage of time. Any peace agreement involving concessions or the recognition of the legitimacy of murderous criminals is absolutely null and void; it violates definitive Shariah rulings that obligate waging Jihad against the occupier and repelling the aggressor from the lands of Islam.

2. The Political Danger: Contemporary historical experience has proven that chasing the mirage of peace with the Jews yields nothing but further subservience and humiliation. The occupier attaches no value to understandings or agreements except insofar as they secure open-ended security for him. Accepting his terms—under the guise of security agreements—merely paves the way for him to tighten the grip of control through which he

seeks to dominate the entire region, especially after Gaza shattered his aura of invincibility.

The Shariah obligation today requires preventing any deviation. This Ummah's affairs can only be set right by a sincere political leadership that upholds the great Islamic project and leads the Ummah by the Deen. Therefore, be as Allah ﷻ intended you to be: sincere servants of His, seeking His pleasure, acting upon His commands, refraining from what He ﷻ has forbidden, and fearing no blame from anyone when it comes to Allah ﷻ.

Allah ﷻ says, ﴿يَا أَيُّهَا الَّذِينَ آمَنُوا اسْتَجِيبُوا لِلَّهِ وَلِلرَّسُولِ إِذَا دَعَاكُمْ لِمَا يُحْيِيكُمْ﴾ **“O you who have believed, respond to Allah and to the Messenger when he calls you to that which gives you life. And know that Allah intervenes between a man and his heart and that to Him you will be gathered.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Anfal: 24].

*** Member of the Media Office of Hizb ut Tahrir in Wilayah Syria**

Jeffrey Sachs's Visit to Uzbekistan: An Indicator of Central Asia's Importance in the Global Economy

The visit to Uzbekistan in July 2026 by Jeffrey Sachs—a world-renowned economist, Columbia University professor, and head of the UN Sustainable Development Solutions Network—took place as part of a historic tour along the Silk Road. The visit focused on fostering regional cooperation and supporting sustainable development. Sachs held meetings in Tashkent with representatives from the Institute for Macroeconomic and Regional Studies (IMRS), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), and the Senate of Uzbekistan.

Al-Rayah Newspaper: Jeffrey Sachs's visit to Uzbekistan is not merely a tour by a scholar; it signals the growing importance of Central Asia within the global economic system.

Uzbekistan can effectively capitalize on this process; international cooperation grounded in science, technology, investment, and mutual benefit can open up new avenues for the country's development.

Yet, opportunities bring responsibilities. Not every Western investor seeks the prosperity of the Uzbek people; many aim primarily to increase their own wealth. History shows that nations have fallen under foreign influence not only through military force but also through economic dependency. In this context, it is worth noting that Uzbekistan's total debt stood at approximately \$46.85 billion at the end of 2025.

The difference between the Western economy and the standard of the justice of Islam is as vast as the distance between the earth

and the sky. Throughout its history, capitalism has never developed any state foreign to the major powers; instead, it has rendered them economically and politically dependent.

In the Islamic economic perspective, however, the issue is not merely about increasing wealth; it is fundamentally linked to how that wealth is earned and distributed within society. Wealth in Islam is not an absolute possession for a person to dispose of at will; rather, it is a trust over Allah ﷻ has appointed humans as vicegerents. As Allah ﷻ says, ﴿آمِنُوا بِاللَّهِ وَرَسُولِهِ وَأَنْفِقُوا مِمَّا جَعَلَكُمْ﴾ **“Believe in Allah and His Messenger and spend out of that in which He has made you trustees. For those who have believed among you and spent, there will be a great reward.”** [TMQ Surah Al-Hadid: 7].

Based on this principle, economic progress is not measured solely by the affluence of a specific group, but by the achievement of justice and prosperity for society as a whole. Furthermore, the lawfulness of capital, the avoidance of harm to others, and the service of the public interest are considered fundamental tenets in Islam.

US Delegation Walks Out of UN Security Council Meeting!

The US delegation walked out of a UN Security Council meeting on July 27—just as French Ambassador Jérôme Bonnafont began his address—in protest against France's criticism of the US human rights record under the Trump administration. This walkout followed a General Assembly vote to extend the mandate of Volker Türk as High Commissioner for Human Rights; the measure garnered support from 144 nations, while the US was among ten countries that opposed it. The French mission to the UN in Geneva stated, “The US used to be a beacon of human rights. Not anymore,” noting that the US had voted alongside Russia and North Korea. US Alternate Representative Dan Negrea described France’s remarks as a “disingenuous grandstanding,” accusing France of “cozying up to the world’s worst oppressors.”

Al-Rayah Newspaper: This walk-out clearly demonstrates the decline of America’s moral influence on the international stage. A state that once sought to present itself as a model for human rights now prefers a theatrical withdrawal over engaging with objective criticism.

France’s comparison of the US to North Korea and Russia regarding human rights votes reflects a tangible reality: the world has come to view America’s commitment to international law and human rights as extending no further than its own interests.